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#### Abstract

This paper investigates the explicit criteria of the stability of the zero solution and the boundedness of all solutions for a certain non-autonomous thirdorder functional differential equation with multiple deviating arguments. To study the stability of the zero solution we construct the Lyapunov functional. The Gronwall-Reid-Bellman inequality is employed to establish the boundedness of all solutions of the addressing model. This study includes and improves some related results existing in the relevant literature. For illustration, two examples are given.
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## 1 Introduction

It is well-known that functional differential equations (FDEs), in particular, delay differential equations (DDEs) are very important in many areas of engineering and science. These equations are frequently encountered as mathematical models of most dynamical processes in mechanics, control theory, physics, chemistry, etc. (see $[6,12,13,14,31,39]$ and the references therein). One of the most attractive areas of the qualitative theory of FDEs is the stability and the boundedness of solutions. In the study of stability and boundedness problems for FDEs, it is well-known that Lyapunov's second method is very important and effective. This technique is also called the direct method because it can be applied to a differential equation directly, without any knowledge of solutions. Today, this method is widely recognized as an excellent tool not only in the study of differential equations but also in the theory of control systems, dynamical systems, systems with time-lag, power system analysis, time varying non-linear feedback system and so on. It worth mentioning that there are numerous books studied the stability and the boundedness by Lyapunov's direct method (see for example $[8,10,11,13,17,18,40]$ ), etc. In the last few decades, the theory of FDEs has attracted much attention and numerous of papers have been published, we can mention the works in $[1-3,5,9,19-25,27-30,32-35,37$, 41], and the references therein. In the particular, many results on the stability and the boundedness of solutions of non-autonomous third-order FDEs have been studied. It can briefly be summarized as the following:

Sadek [30] investigated the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the delay differential equation

$$
\dddot{x}+a(t) \ddot{x}+b(t) \dot{x}+c(t) f(x(t-r))=0,
$$

where $a(t), b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are positive and continuously differentiable functions on $[0, \infty)$; where $r$ is a positive constant; $f(x)$ is a continuous function and $f(0)=0$.

Omeike [20] studied the stability and the boundedness of solutions of the third-order non-autonomous nonlinear differential equation with delays of the form

$$
\dddot{x}+a(t) \ddot{x}+b(t) g(\dot{x})+c(t) h(x(t-r))=p(t),
$$

where $r$ is a positive constant, $a(t), b(t), c(t), g(\dot{x})$ and $h(x)$ are real-valued functions continuous in their respective arguments; $g(0)=h(0)=0$.

Mahmoud [19] established sufficient conditions for the asymptotic stability
of the zero solution for a certain nonlinear non-autonomous third-order delay differential equation

$$
\dddot{x}+a(t) \ddot{x}+b(t) g(\dot{x}(t-r(t)))+c(t) h(x(t-r(t)))=0,
$$

where $0 \leq r(t) \leq \gamma, \gamma>0, a(t), b(t), c(t), g(\dot{x})$ and $h(x)$ are real-valued functions continuous in their respective arguments; $g(0)=h(0)=0$.

Besides it is worth-mentioning that according to our observation, there are few papers studied the behaviour of solutions of certain differential equations of third and fourth-order with multiple delays, (see, [4, 15, 16, 26, 36, 38]).

Tunç and Gözen [38] investigated the stability and the boundedness of solutions of the third-order FDE with multidelay of the form

$$
\dddot{x}(t)+a(t) \ddot{x}(t)+n b(t) g(\dot{x}(t))+c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}\left(x\left(t-r_{i}\right)\right)=p(t)
$$

where $r_{i}$ are certain positive constants, $a(t), b(t), c(t), g(\dot{x}), h(x)$ and $p(t)$ are real-valued and continuous functions in their respective arguments with $g(0)=h(0)=0$.

Tunç [36] discussed the asymptotic stability of the zero solution and the boundedness of all solutions of the third-order nonlinear differential equation with multiple deviating arguments as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dddot{x}(t)+F(\dot{x}(t)) \ddot{x}(t)+H(\dot{x}(t)) \dot{x}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}\left(\dot{x}\left(t-g_{i}(t)\right)\right)+\Psi(x(t)) \\
=P\left(t, x(t), \ldots, x\left(t-g_{n}(t)\right), \dot{x}(t), \ldots, \dot{x}\left(t-g_{n}(t)\right), \ddot{x}(t)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F, H, G_{i}, \Psi$ and $P$ are continuous functions in their respective arguments, with $G_{i}(0)=\Psi(0)=0$.

Ademola et al. [4] established the stability and the boundedness to a certain third-order delay differential equation with multiple deviating arguments as the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dddot{x} & +\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t, x, x\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right), \dot{x}, \dot{x}\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right), \ddot{x}, \ddot{x}\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}\left(\dot{x}\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}\left(x\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}\left(t, x, x\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right), \dot{x}, \dot{x}\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right), \ddot{x}, \ddot{x}\left(t-\tau_{i}(t)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{i}, g_{i}, h_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ are continuous functions in their respective arguments.

Motivated by the above discussion, the present paper investigates the stability of the zero solution and the boundedness of all solutions of non-autonomous third-order FDE with multiple deviating arguments as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dddot{x}+ a(t) f(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}\left(x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right), \dot{x}\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)\right)+c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}\left(x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, x(t-r(t))) \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a(t), b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are positive and continuously differentiable functions on $[0, \infty) ; f, g_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ are continuous functions for all values of respective arguments, with $h_{i}(0)=g_{i}(x, 0)=0$.
All of the functions which appear and the solutions considered are supposed to be real. The dots indicate differentiation with respect to the independent variable $t$. Also the derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_{i}(x, \dot{x}), \frac{\partial}{\partial y} g_{i}(x, \dot{x}), h_{i}^{\prime}(x), a^{\prime}(t), b^{\prime}(t), c^{\prime}(t)$ and $r^{\prime}(t)$ exist and are continuous moreover, the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1) will be assumed.

However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no previous literature on stability and boundedness of solutions to non-autonomous third-order FDE with multiple deviating arguments (1.1).

## 2 Preliminary Results

We consider the following general non-autonomous finite delay differential system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=f\left(t, x_{t}\right), x_{t}=x(t+\theta), \quad-r \leq \theta \leq 0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0, \infty) \times C_{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a continuous mapping, $f(t, 0)=0$, and we suppose that $f$ takes closed bounded sets into bounded sets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Here $(C,\|\cdot\|)$ is the Banach space of continuous function $\varphi:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with the supremum norm, $C_{H}$ is the open $H$-ball in $C, r>0$ for $H>0, C_{H}=\{\phi \in C:\|\phi\|<$ $H\}, C=C\left([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. We will give some important definitions (see Burton [9]).

Definition 2.1 $A$ continuous function $V:[0, \infty) \times C_{H} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$, which is locally Lipschitz in $\phi$ and the derivative of this function is defined as

$$
\dot{V}\left(t, x_{t}\right)=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(t+h, x_{t+h}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)-V\left(t, x_{t}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)}{h},
$$

is called a Lyapunov functional for (2.1), if there is a wedge $W$ satisfies the following conditions
(i) $W(|\phi(0)|) \leq V(t, \phi), \quad V(t, 0)=0 \quad$ and
(ii) $\dot{V}_{(2.1)}\left(t, x_{t}\right) \leq 0$.

Definition 2.2 The zero solution of (2.1) is said to be stable at $t \geq t_{0}$, if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a positive constant $\delta=\delta\left(\varepsilon, t_{0}\right)$ such that, if $\|\phi\|<\delta$ then $\left|x\left(t, t_{0}, \phi\right)\right|<\varepsilon$ for $t \geq t_{0}$.

Definition 2.3 The zero solution of (2.1) is said to be uniformly stable, if it is stable for $t \geq t_{0}$ and the positive constant $\delta$ is independent of $t_{0}$.

Theorem $2.1[7,41]$ Let $V(\phi): C_{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous functional satisfying a local Lipschitz condition, $V(0)=0$ and functions $W_{i}(r),(i=1,2)$ are wedges such that
(i) $W_{1}(|\phi(0)|) \leq V(\phi) \leq W_{2}(\|\phi\|)$ and
(ii) $\dot{V}_{(2.1)}(\phi) \leq 0$, for $\phi \in C_{H}$.

Then the zero solution of (2.1) is uniformly stable.

## Assumptions:

In addition to the basic assumptions on $f, g_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ of equation (1.1), suppose that there are positive constants $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{i}, b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{i}, l_{i}$, with $a_{1} a_{0} b_{0} a_{i}-c_{0} l_{i}>0, L_{i}, N_{i}$; for all $i(i=1,2,3, \ldots, n), \gamma$ and $\beta$, which satisfy the following assumptions:
(i) $\frac{g_{i}(x, y)}{y} \geq a_{i}, \frac{h_{i}(x)}{x} \geq b_{i}$, for all $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$.
(ii) $a_{0} \leq f(y) \leq a_{3}, \sup \left\{h_{i}^{\prime}(x)\right\}=l_{i}, \quad y \frac{\partial g_{i}(x, y)}{\partial x} \leq 0$, for all $x$ and $y$.
(iii) $a_{1} \leq a(t) \leq a_{2}, c_{1} \leq b_{0} \leq b(t) \leq b_{1}, c_{1} \leq c(t) \leq c_{0}$ such that $c_{0}>2 c_{1}$, for $t \geq 0$.
(iv) $\frac{l_{i}}{a_{i}}<\mu, \quad b^{\prime}(t) \leq c^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, a_{5} \leq a^{\prime}(t) \leq a_{4}$, for $t \geq 0, a_{i} \neq 0$.
(v) $r_{i}(t) \leq \gamma, r_{i}^{\prime}(t) \leq \beta, 0<\beta<1$, for $t \geq 0$.
$(\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{i})\left|h_{i}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq L_{i},\left|\frac{\partial g_{i}(x, y)}{\partial y}\right| \leq N_{i}$, for all $x$ and $y$.

## 3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1 By assuming that the assumptions (i) - (vi) hold true with $h_{i}(0)=g_{i}(x, 0)=0$, suppose that the positive constant $\gamma$ is also satisfied

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma<\min \left[\frac{(1-\beta)\left(a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}-\mu a_{3} a_{4}\right)}{2\left\{\mu(1-\beta)\left(b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}+c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\right)+c_{0}(\mu+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\right\}},\right. \\
\frac{(1-\beta)\left(a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}\right)}{2 b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left\{(1-\beta) c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\left(\mu-\beta+1+b_{1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}\right\}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\mu=\frac{a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}+c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{2 b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}} .
$$

Then the zero solution of (1.1) with $p=0$ is uniformly stable.

## Proof.

By considering $p=0$, equation (1.1) is equivalent to the following system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}= & y \\
\dot{y}= & z, \\
\dot{z}= & -a(t) f(y) z-b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x, y)+b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x(s), y(s))}{\partial x} y(s) d s \\
& +b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x(s), y(s))}{\partial y} z(s) d s  \tag{3.1}\\
& -c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} h_{i}^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of the theorem depends entirely on some fundamental properties of a certain differentiable Lyapunov functional $V=V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ of the system (3.1) defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)= & \mu c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+c(t) y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x) \\
& +\mu a(t) \int_{0}^{y} f(\eta) \eta d \eta+b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta+\mu y z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \int_{-r_{i}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \int_{-r_{i}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta
\end{align*}
$$

By differentiating (3.2) in terms of $t$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V}{d t}= & \mu c^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+c^{\prime}(t) y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+b^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta \\
& +\mu a^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{y} f(\eta) \eta d \eta+c(t) y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{\prime}(x)+b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} y \frac{\partial g_{i}(x, \eta)}{\partial x} d \eta \\
& -a(t) f(y) z^{2}+\mu z^{2}+b(t)(\mu y+z) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x(s), y(s))}{\partial x} y(s) d s \\
& -\mu y b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x, y)+b(t)(\mu y+z) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x(s), y(s))}{\partial y} z(s) d s \\
& +c(t)(\mu y+z) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} h_{i}^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s+y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r_{i}(t)+z^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} r_{i}(t) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-r_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right) \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\left(1-r_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right) \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumptions $(i i),(v i)$ and by using the inequality $x y \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V}{d t} \leq & \mu c^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+c^{\prime}(t) y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+\mu a^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{y} f(\eta) \eta d \eta \\
& +b^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta+c(t) y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}-a(t) f(y) z^{2}+\mu z^{2} \\
& -\mu y b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x, y)+\frac{\mu}{2} b(t) y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} r_{i}(t)+\frac{b(t)}{2} z^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} r_{i}(t) \\
& +\frac{\mu}{2} c(t) y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} r_{i}(t)+\frac{c(t)}{2} z^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} r_{i}(t)+y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} r_{i}(t)+z^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} r_{i}(t) \\
& +\left\{\frac{\mu}{2} c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\frac{c(t)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\left(1-r_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s \\
& +\left\{\frac{\mu}{2} b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}+\frac{b(t)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\left(1-r_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by using the assumptions $(i)-(v)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V}{d t} \leq & \mu c^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+c^{\prime}(t) y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+\mu a^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{y} f(\eta) \eta d \eta \\
& +\left\{c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}-\mu b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}+\frac{\mu}{2} b_{1} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}+\frac{\mu}{2} c_{0} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right\} y^{2} \\
& +b^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta+\left\{\mu-a_{0} a_{1}+\frac{b_{1}}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}+\frac{c_{0}}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\right\} z^{2} \\
& +\left\{\frac{c_{0}(\mu+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}}{2}-(1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right\} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s \\
& +\left\{\frac{b_{1}(\mu+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}}{2}-(1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\right\} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} z^{2}(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}=\frac{(\mu+1) c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}}{2(1-\beta)} \text { and } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}=\frac{b_{1}(\mu+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}}{2(1-\beta)},
$$

and from assumptions (ii) and (iv), we can obtain

$$
\mu a^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{y} f(\eta) \eta d \eta \leq \mu \frac{a_{3} a_{4}}{2} y^{2} .
$$

Then we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d V}{d t} \leq G & -\left\{\mu b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}-\frac{\mu}{2} a_{3} a_{4}-\frac{\mu}{2} b_{1} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}-\frac{\mu}{2} c_{0} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\right. \\
& \left.-\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right\} y^{2}-\left\{a_{0} a_{1}-\mu-\frac{b_{1}}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}-\frac{c_{0}}{2} \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}-\gamma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\right\} z^{2}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
G=\mu c^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+c^{\prime}(t) y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+b^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta .
$$

Since $b^{\prime}(t) \leq c^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and $\int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta \geq 0$, we find the following two cases:
Case (1): if $c^{\prime}(t)=0$, it follows that

$$
G=b^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta \leq 0 .
$$

Case (2): if $c^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ and since $\frac{b^{\prime}(t)}{c^{\prime}(t)}<1$, then we have

$$
G \leq c^{\prime}(t)\left(\mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta\right)
$$

Since $\sup \left\{h_{i}^{\prime}(x)\right\}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}$; by $(i i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g_{i}(x, y)}{y} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}$; by $(i)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & \leq c^{\prime}(t)\left\{\frac{1}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\left(y \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)\right)^{2}+\int_{0}^{x}\left(\mu-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi\right\} \\
& \leq c^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x}\left(\mu-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
G \leq c^{\prime}(t) \delta_{1} \int_{0}^{x} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi \leq 0
$$

By using the assumption $(i v)$, and considering $\delta_{1}=\mu-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}>0$, so that we can write (3.3) as the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V}{d t} \leq- & \left\{\frac{a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{2}-\frac{\mu}{2} a_{3} a_{4}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\mu(1-\beta)\left(b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}+c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\right)+c_{0}(\mu+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}}{2(1-\beta)} \gamma\right\} y^{2} \\
- & \left\{\frac{a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}}{2 b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{c_{0}(1-\beta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\left(\mu-\beta+1+b_{1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}}{2(1-\beta)} \gamma\right\} z^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma<\min \left[\frac{(1-\beta)\left(a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}-\mu a_{3} a_{4}\right)}{2\left\{\mu(1-\beta)\left(b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}+c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\right)+c_{0}(\mu+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\right\}}\right. \\
\left.\frac{(1-\beta)\left(a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}\right)}{2 b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\left\{(1-\beta) c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\left(\mu-\beta+1+b_{1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}\right\}}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus for the positive constant $D_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V}{d t} \leq-D_{1}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of $\int_{-r_{i}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s$ and $\int_{-r_{i}(t)}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} z^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s$ are non-negative, then from equation (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq & \mu c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+c(t) y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+\mu a(t) \int_{0}^{y} f(\eta) \eta d \eta \\
& +b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{y} g_{i}(x, \eta) d \eta+\mu y z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumptions $(i)-(v)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq & \mu c_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+c_{1} y \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)+\frac{\mu a_{1} a_{0}}{2} y^{2}+\frac{b_{0}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} y^{2} \\
& +\mu y z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can write the previous inequality as the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq & \frac{1}{2 b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\left(b_{0} y \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}+c_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\mu y+z)^{2} \\
& +\int_{0}^{x}\left\{c_{1} \mu-\frac{c_{1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{\prime}(\xi)}{b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+\frac{\mu}{2}\left(a_{1} a_{0}-\mu\right) y^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the assumptions $(i)-(i i i)$ and since $a_{0} a_{1}-\mu=\frac{a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{2 b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}>0$, then we obtain

$$
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq \int_{0}^{x}\left\{c_{1} \mu-\frac{c_{1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}\right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(\xi) d \xi+\frac{\delta_{2}}{2}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

Suppose that $\delta_{3}=c_{1} \mu-\frac{c_{1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}>0$, by the assumptions $b_{0}>c_{1}$ and $c_{0}>2 c_{1}$, with the assumption $(i)$, we have

$$
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq \frac{\delta_{3}}{2} x^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}+\frac{\delta_{2}}{2}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)
$$

So we can write Lyapunov functional $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq D_{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\delta_{3}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}, \frac{\delta_{2}}{2}\right\}, D_{2}$ is a positive constant.
Since $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i},\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x, y)}{\partial y}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}$ and $h_{i}(0)=g_{i}(x, 0)=$

0 , then by using the mean-value theorem we can write equation (3.2) as the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq & \mu c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x} L_{i} \xi d \xi+c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} x y+\mu y z+\mu a(t) \int_{0}^{y} f(\eta) \eta d \eta \\
& +b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} \int_{0}^{y} \eta d \eta+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t}\left(\theta-t+r_{i}(t)\right) y^{2}(\theta) d \theta \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t}\left(\theta-t+r_{i}(t)\right) z^{2}(\theta) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumptions $(i)-(v)$ and by using the inequality $u v \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq & \frac{\mu c_{0}}{2} x^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\frac{c_{0}}{2} x^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\frac{c_{0}}{2} y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+\frac{\mu a_{2} a_{3}}{2} y^{2}+\frac{b_{1}}{2} y^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} \\
& +\frac{\mu}{2} y^{2}+\frac{\mu}{2} z^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\|y\|^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2}\|z\|^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

So that the above inequality becomes as

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\{c_{0}(\mu+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}\right\}\|x\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i}+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i}+\mu\left(a_{2} a_{3}+1\right)+\gamma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}\right\}\|y\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\{(\mu+1)+\gamma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\right\}\|z\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq D_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right), D_{3}>0 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from inequalities (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we conclude that all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, so that the zero solution of equation (1.1) is uniformly stable. Hence, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is now complete.

Remark 3.1 If we consider equation (1.1) is a differential equation with a deviating arguments, and if we let $f(\dot{x})=1$ and $g(x(t-r(t)), \dot{x}(t-r(t)))=$ $g(x(t-r(t)))$, we find that the results of the equation discussed by Mahmoud [19].

Example 3.1. Consider the non-autonomous third-order nonlinear functional differential equation with multiple deviating arguments as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dddot{x} & +(4+\sin t)(\dot{x})^{2} \ddot{x}+\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{t^{3}+2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[3 x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)+\frac{x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)}{2+\sin t}\right] \\
& +\left(2+\frac{1}{t^{3}+1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[4 \dot{x}\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)+\frac{\dot{x}\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)}{2+\left|x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)\right|+\left|\dot{x}\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)\right|}\right]=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The previous equation is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}= & y, \\
\dot{y}= & z, \\
\dot{z}= & -(4+\sin t) y^{2} z-\left(2+\frac{1}{t^{3}+1}\right)\left(4 y+\frac{y}{2+|x|+|y|}\right) \\
& +\left(2+\frac{1}{t^{3}+1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t}\left[\frac{-y}{(2+|x|+|y|)^{2}}\right] y(s) d s \\
& +\left(2+\frac{1}{t^{3}+1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t}\left[4+\frac{2+x}{(2+|x|+|y|)^{2}}\right] z(s) d s \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{t^{3}+2}\right)\left(3 x+\frac{x}{2+\sin t}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{t^{3}+2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t}\left[3+\frac{1}{2+\sin s}\right] y(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we can write the function

$$
a(t)=4+\sin t, \quad a_{1}=3 \leq a(t) \leq 4=a_{2}, a^{\prime}(t)=\cos t,-1 \leq a^{\prime}(t) \leq 1 .
$$

Also the function

$$
f(y)=y^{2}, a_{0}=2 \leq y^{2} \leq 4=a_{3},
$$

and

$$
b(t)=\left(2+\frac{1}{t^{3}+1}\right), \quad b_{0}=2 \leq b(t) \leq 2.5=b_{1},
$$

therefore we have

$$
b^{\prime}(t)=\frac{-3 t^{2}}{\left(t^{3}+1\right)^{2}} \leq 0
$$

Also

$$
c(t)=\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{t^{3}+2}\right), c_{1}=\frac{1}{4} \leq c(t) \leq \frac{3}{4}=c_{0},
$$

therefore we have

$$
c^{\prime}(t)=\frac{-3 t^{2}}{\left(t^{3}+2\right)^{2}} \leq 0
$$

it is clear that

$$
b^{\prime}(t) \leq c^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 .
$$

Therefore from the assumption (ii), we have $b_{0}=2>c_{1}=\frac{1}{4}$ and $c_{0}=\frac{3}{4}>2 c_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$.
Now, let the function

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[4 y+\frac{y}{(2+|x|+|y|)}\right]
$$

then, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g_{i}(x, y)}{y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[4+\frac{1}{(2+|x|+|y|)}\right] \geq 4=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} .
$$

It follows that the derivative of this function in terms of $x$ is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x, y)}{\partial x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{-y}{(2+|x|+|y|)^{2}}\right] \leq 0
$$

and in terms of $y$ is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x, y)}{\partial y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[4+\frac{2+x}{(2+|x|+|y|)^{2}}\right] \leq 4.5=\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_{i} .
$$

Finally, the function

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[3 x+\frac{x}{2+\sin t}\right],
$$

and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h_{i}(x)}{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[3+\frac{1}{2+\sin t}\right] \geq 3=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} .
$$

Therefore the derivative in terms of $x$ becomes

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial h_{i}(x)}{\partial x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[3+\frac{1}{2+\sin t}\right] \leq 4=\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} .
$$

Then $\sup \left\{h_{i}^{\prime}(x)\right\}=4=\sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}$.
Then we have

$$
a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}-c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}=48-3=45>0 .
$$

Thus all the assumptions $(i)-(v i)$ of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.
The following is the second main result of boundedness of solutions for (1.1) for $p \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.2 In addition to the assumptions imposed on the functions that appeared in equation (1.1), we have the assumption

$$
|p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, x(t-r(t)))| \leq q(t)
$$

where $\max \{q(t)\}<\infty$ and $q \in L^{1}(0, \infty), L^{1}(0, \infty)$ is space of integrable Lebesgue functions. Then, there exists a finite positive constant $k$ such that the solution $x(t)$ of equation (1.1) defined by initial functions

$$
x(t)=\phi(t), \quad \dot{x}(t)=\dot{\phi}(t), \ddot{x}(t)=\ddot{\phi}(t)
$$

satisfies the inequalities

$$
|x(t)| \leq k,|\dot{x}(t)| \leq k,|\ddot{x}(t)| \leq k,
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$, where $\phi \in C^{2}\left(\left[t_{0}-r, t_{0}\right], \mathbb{R}\right)$.

## Proof.

Now, if $p \neq 0$, then the equation (1.1) is equivalent to the following system

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}= & y \\
\dot{y}= & z \\
\dot{z}= & -a(t) f(y) z-b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}(x, y)+b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x(s), y(s))}{\partial x} y(s) d s \\
& +b(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} \frac{\partial g_{i}(x(s), y(s))}{\partial y} z(s) d s-c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}(x)  \tag{3.8}\\
& +c(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t-r_{i}(t)}^{t} h_{i}^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s+p(t, x, y, z, x(t-r(t)))
\end{align*}
$$

From the assumptions $(i)-(v i)$ of Theorem 3.1 and the equation (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)}{d t} & \leq-D_{1}\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+(\mu y+z) p(t, x, y, z, x(t-r(t))) \\
& \leq(\mu|y|+|z|)|p| \\
& \leq D_{3}(|y|+|z|) q(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D_{3}=\min (\mu, 1)$.
Therefore, we obtain

$$
\frac{d V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)}{d t} \leq D_{3}(|y|+|z|) q(t)
$$

Since by the inequalities $|y|<1+y^{2},|z|<1+z^{2}$, then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)}{d t} \leq D_{3}\left(2+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) q(t) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the inequality (3.5), we have $y^{2}+z^{2} \leq D_{2}^{-1} V$.
Then the inequality (3.9) becomes

$$
\frac{d V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)}{d t} \leq D_{3}\left(2+D_{2}^{-1} V\right) q(t)
$$

and by integrating the last inequality from 0 to $t$, therefore we get

$$
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq V\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+2 D_{3} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) d s+D_{3} D_{2}^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} V q(s) d s
$$

since $q(t) \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$ and by using the Gronwall-Reid-Bellman inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) & \leq\left[V\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}\right)+2 D_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s\right] \exp \left(D_{3} D_{2}^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s\right) \\
& =k_{1}<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k_{1}>0$.
Again, since $V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \geq D_{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)$; by (3.5), then we have

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2} \leq D_{2}^{-1} V \leq D_{2}^{-1} k_{1}=K
$$

Thus we conclude

$$
|x(t)| \leq K, \quad|\dot{x}(t)| \leq K, \quad|\ddot{x}(t)| \leq K, \quad \text { for all } t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Therefore the proof of Theorem 3.2 is now complete.
Example 3.2. Consider the third-order nonlinear functional differential equation as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dddot{x} & +(4+\sin t)(\dot{x})^{2} \ddot{x}+\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{t^{3}+2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[3 x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)+\frac{x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)}{2+\sin t}\right] \\
& +\left(2+\frac{1}{t^{3}+1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[4 \dot{x}\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)+\frac{\dot{x}\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)}{2+\left|x\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)\right|+\left|\dot{x}\left(t-r_{i}(t)\right)\right|}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))} . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the function

$$
p=\frac{1}{4+t^{2}+x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)+z^{2}(t)+x^{2}(t-r(t))} \leq \frac{1}{4+t^{2}}=q(t),
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
It follows that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} q(s) d s=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4+s^{2}} d s=\frac{\pi}{4}<\infty,
$$

then $q(t) \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$.
Since

$$
\mu=\frac{a_{0} a_{1} b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}+c_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}}{2 b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}}=\frac{51}{16},
$$

then, we obtain

$$
\frac{d V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)}{d t} \leq D_{3}(|y|+|z|) \frac{1}{4+t^{2}}
$$

where $D_{3}=\min \left(\frac{51}{16}, 1\right)=1$.
Therefore, we get

$$
\frac{d V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)}{d t} \leq \frac{2+y^{2}+z^{2}}{4+t^{2}} \leq \frac{2}{4+t^{2}}+\frac{D_{2}^{-1} V}{4+t^{2}}
$$

By integrating the previous inequality from 0 to $t$, using the fact that $\frac{1}{4+t^{2}} \in L^{1}(0, \infty)$, we have

$$
V\left(x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq\left(V_{0}+\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \exp \left(D_{2}^{-1} \frac{\pi}{4}\right)<\infty
$$

So, we can conclude the boundrdness of all solutions of the equation (3.10).

## 4 Conclusion

We know that the differential equations of third-order play extremely important and useful roles in many scientific areas such as atomic energy, biology, chemistry, control theory, economy, engineering, information theory, mechanics, medicine, physics, etc. Sufficient conditions for stability and boundedness of solutions of third-order functional differential equation with multiple delays were established. The appropriate Lyapunov functional is used to obtain the results. The results of this paper improve and complement existing results in the literature.
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