

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
AND
CONTROL PROCESSES
N 4, 2005
Electronic Journal,
reg. N P23275 at 07.03.97

http://www.neva.ru/journal e-mail: diff@osipenko.stu.neva.ru

Optimal control

# Time-Optimal Control Problem For Infinite Order Parabolic Equations With Control Constraints

G. M. Bahaa

Mathematics Department,
Faculty of Science,
Beni-Suef University, Beni- Suef, Egypt e-mail: Bahaa\_gmhotmail.com
35K20, 49J20, 49K20, 93C20

#### Abstract

A time optimal control problem for infinite order parabolic equations is considered. A time optimal control problem is replaced by an equivalent one with a performance index in the form of integral form. Constraints on controls are assumed. To obtain the optimality conditions for the Neumann problem, the generalization of the Dubovitskii-Milyutin Theorem given by Walczak in Refs.[41,42] was applied.

#### 1 Introduction

In recent years, significant emphasis has been given to the study of optimal control for systems governed by parabolic and hyperbolic partial differential equations with first boundary conditions or with Cauchy conditions. In these studies, the differential equations are either in general form or in divergence form. Questions concerning necessary conditions for optimality and existence

of optimal controls for these problems have been investigated for example in [8-19,22-43].

In (Refs. [15,18,19,29,32]), the optimal control problems for systems described by parabolic and hyperbolic operators with infinite order and consist of one equation have been discussed. Also we extended the discussion in [9-12] to  $n \times n$  coupled systems of elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic types involving different types of operators. To obtain optimality conditions the arguments of (Ref.[38]) have been applied.

Making use of the Dubovitskii-Milyutin theorem from [20]), following (Refs. [22-35]) Kotarski have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality for similar systems governed by second order operator with an infinite number of variables and with Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions. The interest in the study of this class of operators is stimulated by problems in quantum field theory.

In [1] a distributed Pareto optimal control problem for the parabolic operator with an infinite number of variables and with Neumann boundary conditions is considered. In [2] a time optimal control problem for parabolic equations with an infinite number of variables is considered. In [29] a distributed control problem for a hyperbolic system with mixed control state constraints involving operator of infinite order is considered. In [31] a distributed control problem for Neumann parabolic problem with time delay is considered. Also in [32], a distributed control problem for a hyperbolic system involving operator of infinite order with Dirichlet conditions is considered.

In this paper, the application of the generalized Dubovitskii- Milyutin Theorem from [41,42] will be demonstrated on an optimization Neumann problem for system described by parabolic operator with infinite order. A time optimal control problem for infinite order parabolic equations is considered. A time optimal control problem is replaced by an equivalent one with a performance index in the form of integral form. Constraints on controls are assumed.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some functional spaces with an infinite order. In section 3, we formulate the optimal control problem and we introduce the main results of this paper. In section 4, we introduce a real example for this problem.

# 2 Some Functional Spaces (Refs.[3-5]).

The object of this section is to give the definition of some function spaces of infinite order, and the chains of the constructed spaces which will be used later. We define the Sobolev space  $W^{\infty}\{a_{\alpha}, 2\}$  ( $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) (which we shall denoted by  $W^{\infty}\{a_{\alpha}, 2\}$ ) of infinite order of periodic functions  $\phi(x)$  defined on all boundary  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , as follows,

$$W^{\infty}\left\{a_{\alpha}, 2\right\} = \left\{\phi(x) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha} ||D^{\alpha}\phi||_{2}^{2} < \infty\right\}$$

where  $a_{\alpha} \geq 0$  is a numerical sequence and  $||.||_2$  is the canonical norm in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (all functions are assumed to be real valued), and

$$D^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{(\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}},$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n)$  is a multi-index for differentiation,  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ .

The space  $W^{-\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\}$  is defined as the formal conjugate space to the space  $W^{\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\}$ , namely:

$$W^{-\infty} \{ a_{\alpha}, 2 \} = \{ \psi(x) : \psi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha} D^{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha}(x) \},$$

where 
$$\psi_{\alpha} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 and  $\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha} ||\psi_{\alpha}||_2^2 < \infty$ ..

The duality pairing of the spaces  $W^{\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\}$  and  $W^{-\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\}$  is postulated by the formula

$$(\psi, \psi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} \phi dx,$$

where

$$\phi \in W^{\infty} \{a_{\alpha}, 2\}, \quad \psi \in W^{-\infty} \{a_{\alpha}, 2\}.$$

From above,  $W^{\infty}\{a_{\alpha}, 2\}$  is everywhere dense in  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  with topological inclusions and  $W^{-\infty}\{a_{\alpha}, 2\}$  denotes the topological dual space with respect to  $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ , so we have the following chain:

$$W^{\infty}\left\{a_{\alpha},2\right\} \subseteq L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \subseteq W^{-\infty}\left\{a_{\alpha},2\right\}.$$

We now introduce  $L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  which we shall denoted by  $L^2(Q)$ , where  $Q = \mathbb{R}^n \times ]0,T[$ , denotes the space of measurable functions  $t \to \phi(t)$  such that

$$||\phi||_{L^2(Q)} = (\int_0^T ||\phi(t)||_2^2 dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

endowed with the scalar product  $(f,g)=\int_0^T (f(t),g(t))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}dt,\ L^2(Q)$  is a Hilbert space. In the same manner we define the spaces  $L^2(0,T;W^\infty\{a_\alpha,2\})$ , and  $L^2(0,T;W^{-\infty}\{a_\alpha,2\})$ , as its formal conjugate resp.

Finally we have the following chains:

$$L^{2}(0,T;W^{\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\}) \subseteq L^{2}(Q) \subseteq L^{2}(0,T;W^{-\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\}),$$

Finally, let us introduce the space

$$W(0,T) := \left\{ y; \quad y \in L^2(0,T; W^{\infty} \{a_{\alpha}, 2\}), \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \in L^2(0,T; W^{-\infty} \{a_{\alpha}, 2\}) \right\},\,$$

in which a solution of a parabolic equation with an infinite order will be contained. The spaces considered in this paper are assumed to be real.

# 3 Time-Optimal Control Problem For Parabolic Equations

Let us take into account the following optimization problem:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} + A(t)y = u, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in (0, T), \tag{1}$$

$$y(x,0) = y_p(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\omega} y(x,t)}{\partial \nu_A^{\omega}} = 0, \qquad x \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0,T), \tag{3}$$

$$y(x,T) \in K, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4}$$

$$u \in U_{ad}, \tag{5}$$

$$T \to \min,$$
 (6)

where  $y_p$  is a given element in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , A(t) is a bounded infinite order self-adjoint elliptic partial differential operator mapping  $W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $W^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which takes the form [18-19]

$$(A\Phi)(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} a_{\alpha} D^{2\alpha} \Phi(x, t),$$

and  $\frac{\partial^{\omega}}{\partial \nu_A^{\omega}}$  is the co-normal derivatives with respect to A, for  $|\omega| = 0, 1, 2, ..., |\omega| \le \alpha - 1$ .

For each  $t \in ]0,T[$ , we define the following bilinear form on  $W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

$$\pi(t;\phi,\psi) = (A(t)\phi,\psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \phi,\psi \in W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then

$$\pi(t;\phi,\psi) = (A(t)\phi,\psi)_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

$$= (A(t)\phi(x),\psi(x))_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} a_{\alpha} D^{2\alpha} \phi(x,t), \psi(x)\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^{\alpha} \phi(x) D^{\alpha} \psi(x) dx$$

$$(7)$$

The bilinear form (3.7) is coercive on  $W^{\infty}$  { $a_{\alpha}$ , 2} that is, there exists  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that:

$$\pi(t;\phi,\phi) = \eta ||\phi||_{W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \quad \eta > 0.$$
 (8)

It is well known that the ellipticity of A(t) is sufficient for the coercitivness of  $\pi(t; \phi, \psi)$  on  $W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  [38]. Then

$$\pi(t;\phi,\phi) = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} a_{\alpha} D^{2\alpha} \phi(x,t), \phi(x,t)\right)$$

$$\geq \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{|\alpha|} a_{\alpha} ||D^{\alpha} \phi(x)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}\right)$$

$$= \eta ||\phi(x)||_{W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}.$$

 $\begin{cases} \forall \phi, \psi \in W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ the function } t \to \pi(t; \phi, \psi) \text{ is continuously differentiable in } \\ ]0, T[; and \end{cases}$ 

$$\pi(t;\phi,\psi) = \pi(t;\psi,\phi) \tag{9}$$

**Note.** The operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + A(t)$  is an infinite order parabolic operator which maps  $L^2(0,T;W^{\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\})$  onto  $L^2(0,T;W^{-\infty}\{a_{\alpha},2\})$ .

**Remark 3.1** The equations (3.1)-(3.3) have the unique generalized solution such as

$$y \in W(0,T) := \left\{ y | y \in L^2(0,T; W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)), \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \in L^2(0,T; W^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \right\}$$

continuously dependent on the right-hand side of (3.1) and the initial condition (3.2). Moreover,  $y \in W(0,T)$  is a continuous function  $[0,T] \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (compare with Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 Chapt. 3 [38])

Let us denote by  $U := L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) = L^2(\mathbb{Q})$ , the space of controls and by  $Y := L^2(0, T; W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  the space of states.

We assume that  $U_{ad}$  is a closed, convex subset of U and K is a closed, convex subset of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with non-empty interior.

# 3.1 An equivalent optimization problem

The optimization problem (3.1)-(3.6) can be replaced by another equivalent one with a fixed time T and a performance index in a form of integral (compare with [40]). To show that we need two auxiliary theorems.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $T^0 > 0$  be the optimal time for the problem (3.1)-(3.6). If  $int K \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$y(x, T^0) \in \partial K \qquad (boundary \ of K)$$
 (10)

for any y satisfying (3.1)-(3.4).

Proof Any solution of (3.1)-(3.3) is continuous with respect to t. If (3.10) is not true, then there exists an admissible state y such as  $y(x, T^0) \in \text{int} K$ . Thus a  $\hat{T} < T^0$  exists so that  $y(x, \hat{T}) \in K$ . This contradicts the optimality of  $T^0$  and hence (3.10) must be fulfilled.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $T^0 > 0$  be the optimal time for the problem (3.1)-(3.6), let  $u^0$  and  $y^0$  be an optimal control and the corresponding state, respectively. Then with the assumptions given above there exists a non-trivial element  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  so that the pair  $(y^0, u^0)$  is optimal for the following control problem with the fixed time  $T^0$ :

$$I(y,u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)y(x,T^0)dx \to min \tag{11}$$

subject to the constraints (3.1)-(3.3).

Proof The linearity of the equations (3.1)-(3.3) implies that the endpoints  $y(x,T^0)$  of all admissible states y form a convex set  $Y_{T^0}$ . From Theorem (3.1) we have  $Y_{T^0} \cap \operatorname{int} K = \emptyset$  and  $y^0(0,T^0) \in \partial K$ . Since  $\operatorname{int} K \neq \emptyset$  thus there exists a closed hyperplane separating  $Y_{T^0}$  and K containing  $y^0(x,T^0)$ , i.e. there is a nonzero  $g \in [L^2(R^n)]^* = L^2(R^n)$ 

$$\sup_{y \in Y_{T^0}} \int_{R^n} g(x) y(x, T^0) dx \le \int_{R^n} g(x) y^0(x, T^0) dx 
\le \inf_{y \in K} \int_{R^n} g(x) y(x, T^0) dx.$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.4 If the set K has a special form i.e.

$$K = \{y\} (x, T); \quad ||y - z||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \le \epsilon,$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $z \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are given, then g is known explicitly and is expressed by  $g(x) = 2(y^0(x,T) - z(x))$ .

**Remark 3.5** The method fails if  $intK = \emptyset$ , e.g. in the case when K consists of a single point.

# 3.2 Optimality conditions

Now based on Theorem (3.2) we can be ready to formulate the necessary condition of optimality for problem (3.1)-(3.6).

**Theorem 3.6** Assuming that  $T^0 > 0$  is the optimal time for the problem (3.1)-(3.6),  $u^0$  and  $y^0$  are the optimal control and the corresponding state, respectively. Then with the assumptions given above at the beginning of section 3, there exist

an element  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the adjoint state  $p \in W(0,T)$  so that the following system of partial differential equations and inequalities must be satisfied:

#### State equations:

$$\frac{\partial y^0}{\partial t} + A(t)y^0 = u^0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in (0, T^0)$$
 (12)

$$\frac{\partial y^0}{\partial t} + A(t)y^0 = u^0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in (0, T^0)$$

$$\frac{\partial^\omega y^0(x, t)}{\partial \nu_A^\omega} = 0, \qquad x \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0, T^0),$$
(12)

$$y^{0}(x,0) = y_{p}(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$
 (14)

$$y^0(x, T^0) \in K, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{15}$$

#### Adjoint equations:

$$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + A(t)p = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in (0, T^0), \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\omega} p(x,t)}{\partial \nu_A^{\omega}} = 0, \qquad x \in \Gamma, \quad t \in (0, T^0), \tag{17}$$

$$p(x, T^0) = g(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{18}$$

#### Maximum conditions:

$$\int_{\Omega} p(u - u^0) dx dt \ge 0 \quad \forall u \in U_{ad}, \tag{19}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)(y - y^0) dx \ge 0 \quad \forall y \in K.$$
 (20)

Proof According to Theorem (3.2) our problem is equivalent to the one with the fixed time  $T^0$  and the performance index in the integral form (3.11). For such a new problem we formulate the necessary conditions of optimality by applying the generalized Dubovitskii-Milyutin Theorem (Theorem 1.8.1 in [26]). Let us denote by  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$  the sets in the space  $E := Y \times U$  as follows

$$Q_{1} := \left\{ (y, u) \in E; \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} + A(t)y &= u \\ (y, u) \in E; \quad y(x, 0) &= y_{p}(x) \\ \frac{\partial^{\omega} y(x, t)}{\partial \nu_{A}^{\omega}} &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

$$Q_{2} := \left\{ (y, u) \in E; \quad y \in Y, u \in U_{ad} \right\},$$

$$Q_{3} := \left\{ (y, u) \in E; \quad y(x, T^{0}) \in K, u \in U_{ad} \right\}.$$

Thus the optimization problem may be formulated in such a form

$$I(y,u) \to min$$
 subject to  $(y,u) \in Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap Q_3$ .

We approximate the sets  $Q_1$ , and  $Q_2$  by the regular tangent cones (RTC),  $Q_3$  by the regular admissible cone (RAC) and the performance functional by the regular cone of decrease (RFC).

The tangent cone to the set  $Q_1$  at  $(y^0, u^0)$  has the form

$$RTC(Q_1, (y^0, u^0)) = \left\{ (\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) \in E; \ P'(y^0, u^0)(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) = 0 \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ (\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) \in E; \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial t} + A(t)\tilde{y} = \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{y}(x, 0) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial^{\omega} \tilde{y}(x, t)}{\partial \nu_{A}^{\omega}} = 0, \right\}$$

where  $P'(y^0, u^0)(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u})$  is the Frèchet differential of the operator

$$P(y,u) := \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} + A(t)y - u, y(x,0) - y_p(x)\right)$$

mapping from the space

$$W := L^2(0, T; W^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L^2(\mathbb{Q})$$

into the space

$$Z := L^2(0, T; W^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Applying theorem on the existence of the solution to the equation (3.1)-(3.3) Remark (3.1) it is easy to prove that  $P'(y^0, u^0)$  is the mapping from the space W onto Z as required in the Lusternik Theorem (Theorem 9.1 in [20]).

The tangent cone  $RTC(Q_2, (y^0, u^0))$  to the set  $Q_2$  at  $(y^0, u^0)$  has the form  $Y \times RTC(U_{ad}, u^0)$ , where  $RTC(U_{ad}, u^0)$  is the tangent cone to the set  $U_{ad}$  at the point  $u^0$ . It is known that the tangent cones are closed [36].

Then we can show that:-

$$RTC(Q_1 \cap Q_2, (y^0, u^0)) = RTC(Q_1, (y^0, u^0)) \cap RTC(Q_2, (y^0, u^0)).$$

Further taking into account Theorem 3.3 in [42] it is easy to see that the adjoint cones  $[RTC(Q_1, (y^0, u^0))]^*$  and  $[RTC(Q_2, (y^0, u^0))]^*$  are of the same sense.

The admissible cone  $RAC(Q_3, (y^0, u^0))$  to the set  $Q_3$  at  $(y^0, u^0)$  has the form  $RAC(K, y^0(x, T^0)) \times U$ , where  $RAC(K, y^0(x, T^{0s}))$  is the admissible cone to the set K at the point  $y^0(x, T^0)$ .

According to Theorem 7.5 [20] the regular cone of decrease for the performance functional is given by

$$RFC(I,(y^0,u^0)) = \left\{ (\tilde{y},\tilde{u}) \in E; \int_{R^n} g(x)\tilde{y}(x,T^0)dx < 0 \right\}.$$

If  $RFC(I, (y^0, u^0)) \neq \emptyset$ , then the adjoint cone consists of the elements of the form (Theorem 10.2 [20])

$$f_4(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) = -\lambda_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)\tilde{y}(x, T^0)dx$$
, where  $\lambda_0 \ge 0$ .

The functionals belonging to  $[RTC(Q_1, (y^0, u^0))]^*$  have the form (Theorem 10.1 [20])

$$f_1(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) = 0 \qquad \forall (\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) \in RTC(Q_1, (y^0, u^0)).$$

The functionals

$$f_2(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) \in [RTC(Q_2, (y^0, u^0))]^*$$
 and  $f_3(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) \in [RAC(Q_3, (y^0, u^0))]^*$ 

can be expressed as follows

$$f_2(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) = f_2^1(\tilde{y}) + f_2^2(\tilde{u}),$$
  
 $f_3(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) = f_3^1(\tilde{y}) + f_3^2(\tilde{u}),$ 

where  $f_2^1(\tilde{y}) = 0 \,\forall \tilde{y}$  and  $f_3^2(\tilde{y}) = 0 \,\forall \tilde{u} \in U$  (Theorem 10.1 in [20]),  $f_2^2(\tilde{u})$  is the support functional to the set  $U_{ad}$  at the point  $u^0$  and  $f_3^1(\tilde{y})$  is the support functional to the set K at the point  $y^0(x, T^0)$  (Theorem 10.5 in [20]).

Since all assumptions of the Dubovitskii-Milyutin Theorem are satisfied and we know suitable adjoint cones then we are ready to write down the Euler-Lagrange Equation in the following form

$$f_2^2(\tilde{u}) + f_3^1(\tilde{y}) = \lambda_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)\tilde{y}(x, T^0)dx, \forall (\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) \in RTC(Q_1, (y^0, u^0)).$$
 (21)

Introducting the adjoint variable p by the equation (3.16)-(3.18) and taking into account that  $\tilde{y}$  is the solution of  $P'(y^0, u^0)(\tilde{y}, \tilde{u}) = 0$  for any fixed  $\tilde{u}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \int_Q \biggl( \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + A(t) p \biggr) \tilde{y} dx dt = \int_{R^n} \biggl( -p(x, T^0) \tilde{y}(x, T^0) \biggr) dx \\ &+ \int_{R^n} p(x, 0) \tilde{y}(x, 0) dx + \int_Q p \biggl( \frac{\partial \tilde{y}}{\partial t} + A(t) \tilde{y} \biggr) dx dt \\ &= - \int_{R^n} p(x, T^0) \tilde{y}(x, T^0) dx + \int_Q p \tilde{u} dx dt. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x)\tilde{y}(x,T^0)dx = \int_{Q} p\tilde{u}dxdt. \tag{22}$$

From (3.21) and (3.22) we get

$$f_2^2(\tilde{u}) + f_3^1(\tilde{y}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 \int_{\mathcal{O}} p\tilde{u}dxdt + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 \int_{R^n} g(x)\tilde{y}(x, T^0)dx$$
 (23)

A number  $\lambda_0$  cannot be equal to 0 because in such a case all functionals in the Euler-Lagrange Equation would be zero which is impossible according to the Dubovitskii-Milyutin Theorem. Using the definition of the support functional and dividing both members of the obtained inequalities by  $\lambda_0$  from (3.23) we obtain the maximum conditions (3.19)-(3.20).

If  $RFC(I, (y^0, u^0)) = \emptyset$  then the optimality conditions (3.12)-(3.20) are fulfilled with equality in the maximum conditions (3.19)-(3.20). This last remark completes the proof.

# 4 Real example

We shall us the following notation:

$$Q = Q_T = \Omega \times ]0, T[, \quad \Omega \text{ an open subset of } R^n;$$
  
 $\Sigma = \Sigma_T = \Gamma \times ]0, T[,$   
 $\Gamma = \text{boundary of } \Omega, \quad \Sigma = \text{lateral boundary of } Q,$   
 $V \subset H \subset V'.$ 

Let us consider the system whose state is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}y(t;v) + A(t)y(t;v) = f + Bv \tag{24}$$

$$y(0,v) = y_0 (25)$$

where  $A(t)y = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_j}), a_{ij}$  be given functions in  $\Omega \times ]0, T[=Q]$ 

with

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} \in L^{\infty}(Q), \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x,t)\xi_{i}\xi_{j} \geq \alpha(\xi_{1}^{2} + \dots + \xi_{n}^{2}) & \alpha > 0, \quad \xi_{i} \in R, \\ \text{almost everywhere in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$B \in L(U, L^{2}(0, T, V')) \tag{26}$$

Let  $U_{ad}$  be a given closed, convex subset of U and let  $y_1$  be a given element in H.

We assume that

$$\begin{cases} \text{there exists a } v \in U_{ad} \text{ such that} \\ y(\tau; v) = y_1 \text{ for an appropriate } \tau \in [0, T] \text{ and } \tau \leq T \end{cases}$$
 (27)

The optimal time is defined by

$$\tau_0 = \inf \tau, \quad \tau \text{ such that (4.4) holds}$$
 (28)

The Problem which we shall study are:

existence of an optimal control, that is, existence of  $u \in U_{ad}$  such that

$$y(\tau_0; u) = y_1; \tag{29}$$

Lemma 4.1 We assume that

 $\begin{cases} \forall \phi, \psi \in W^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ the function } t \to \pi(t; \phi, \psi) \text{ is continuously differentiable in} \\ ]0, T[; and \end{cases}$ 

$$\pi(t;\phi,\psi) = \pi(t;\psi,\phi) \tag{30}$$

and there exists a  $\lambda$  such that

$$\pi(t;\phi,\phi) + \lambda |\phi|^2 \ge \alpha ||\phi||^2, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \forall \phi \in V, t \in ]0, T[, \tag{31}$$

(4.4) and (4.3) hold and that  $U_{ad}$  is bounded. Then there exists an optimal control, that is  $u \in U_{ad}$  such that (4.6) is satisfied.

Proof See Theorem 17.1 in [38] Chapter III, section 17.2.

**Example** Take  $U = L^2(\Sigma)$ . Let the state y(v) be given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}y(v) + A(t)y(v) = f,$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu_A}(v) = v,$$

$$y(x, 0, v) = y_0(x).$$

Theorem 17.1 [38] may be applied to this example. Hence the theorem covers the case of boundary control.

**Remark 4.2** Theorem 17.1 [38] may be modified without any difficulty to cover the case of systems with Dirichlet boundary conditions (cf [38] section 9) and where the control is exercised through the boundary.

#### Comments

The main result of the paper contains necessary and sufficient conditions of optimality (of Pontryagin's type) for infinite order parabolic system that give characterization of optimal control. But it is easily seen that obtaining analytical formulas for optimal control is very difficult. This results from the fact that state equations (3.12)-(3.15), adjoint equations (3.16)-(3.18) and maximum conditions (3.19)-(3.20) are mutually connected that cause that the usage of derived conditions is difficult. Therefore we must resign from the exact determining of the optimal control and therefore we are forced to use approximations methods. Those problems need further investigations and form tasks for future research.

Also it is evident that by modifying:

- the boundary conditions,
- the nature of the control (distributed, boundary),
- the nature of the observation,
- the initial differential system,

an infinity of variations on the above problem are possible to study with the help of Dubovitskii-Milyutin formalism.

**Acknowledgment** The author is grateful for the referees of Differential Equation And Control Process Electronic Journal for their fruitful comments and invaluable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] **Bahaa, G. M.,** "Quadratic Pareto optimal control of parabolic equation with state-control constraints and an infinite number of variables," *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, **20**, 2, (2003), 167-178.
- [2] **Bahaa, G. M.**, "Time-Optimal control problem for parabolic equations with control constraints and infinite number of variables," *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, **21**, (2005), 364-375.
- [3] **Dubinskii**, **Ju. A.**, "About one method for solving partial differential equation,", Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **258**, (1981), 780-784.
- [4] **Dubinskii**, **Ju. A.**, "Non-triviality of Sobolev spaces of infinite order for a full Euclidean space and a Tours," *Math. USSR. Sb.* **29**, (1976), 393-401.
- [5] **Dubinskii**, **Ju. A.**, "Sobolev spaces of infinite order and behavior of solution of some boundary value problems with unbounded increase of the order of the equation," *Math. USSR. Sb.* **27**, (1975), 143-162.
- [6] **Dubovitskii**, **A.**, **Milyutin**, **M.**, "Extremal problems with constraints," *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, **149**, 4, (1963), 759-762.
- [7] **Dubovitskii**, **A.**, **Milyutin**, **M.**, "Extremal problems with constraints," Zhurnal Vycislitelnoi Mathematiki i Matematiceskoi Fiziki, **5**, 5, (1965), 395-453.
- [8] **El-Saify, H. A.**, "Boundary control problem with an infinite number of variables," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematics Science*, **28**, 1, (2001), 57-62.
- [9] El-Saify H. A., and Bahaa, G. M., "Optimal control for  $n \times n$  systems of hyperbolic types," Revista de Matemáticas Aplicadas, 22, 1&2, (2001), 41-58.
- [10] El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M., "Optimal control for  $n \times n$  hyperbolic systems involving operators of infinite order," *Mathematica Slovaca*, **52**, 4, (2002), 409-424.
- [11] **El-Saify, H. A., and Bahaa, G. M.,** "Optimal control for  $n \times n$  coupled systems of Petrowsky type with an infinite number of Variables," *Mathematica Slovaca*, **53**, 3, (2003), 291-311.
  - [12] El-Saify, H. A., and Bahaa, G. M., "Boundary control for  $n \times n$

- systems of hyperbolic types involving infinite order operators," Accepted in the second International Conference of Mathematics, Islamic University, Gaza, Palastin, Editor. M. S. Al-Atrash, 26-28 Augustus. (2002).
- [13] El-Saify, H. A., Serag, H. M, and Bahaa, G. M., "On optimal control for  $n \times n$  elliptic system involving operators with an infinite number of variables," Advances in Modelling & Analysis, 37, 4, (2000), 47-61.
- [14] **El-Zahaby**, **S. A.** "Necessary conditions for control problems governed by elliptic variational inequalities with an infinite number of variables," *Journal Information and Optimization Science*, **15**, (1994), 2, 171-178.
- [15] **El-Zahaby, S. A.** "Optimal control of systems governed by infinite order operators," Proceeding (Abstracts) of the International Conference of Mathematics (Trends and Developments) of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, Cairo, Egypt, 28-31 Dec. (2002), and Submitted for Publication in Journal of Egyptian Mathematical Society.
- [16] Gali, I. M., and El-Saify, H. A., "Optimal control of a system governed by hyperbolic operator with an infinite number of variables," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **85**, 1, (1982), 24-30.
- [17] Gali, I. M, and El-Saify, H.A., "Distributed control of a system governed by Dirichlet and Neumann problems for a self-adjoint elliptic operator with an infinite number of variables," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, **39**, 2, (1983), 293-298.
- [18] Gali, I. M. and El-Saify, H. A., "Control of system governed by infinite order equation of hyperbolic type," *Proceeding of the International Conference on "Functional-Differential Systems and Related Topics,"* III, *Poland*, (1983), 99-103.
- [19] Gali, I. M., El-Saify, H. A. and El-Zahaby, S. A., "Distributed Control of a system governed by Dirichlet and Neumann problems for elliptic equations of infinite order," *Proceeding of the International Conference on "Functional-Differential Systems and Related Topics"*, III, Poland, (1983), 83-87.
- [20] Girsanov, I. V. "Lectures on Mathematical Theory of Extremum Problems," New York: Springer-Verlag, (1972).
  - [21] Ioffe A.D., Tikhomirov W. M., "Theory of Extremal Prob-

- lems," North Holland, Amsterdam, (1979).
- [22] **Kotarski, W.,** "Optimal control of a system governed by a parabolic equation with an infinite number of variables," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, **60**, (1989), 33-41.
- [23] **Kotarski**, **W**., "Optimal control of a system governed by a parabolic equation with an infinite number of variables and time delay," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, **63**, (1989), 91-101.
- [24] **Kotarski, W.,** "Characterization of Pareto optimal points in problems with multi-equality constraints," *Optimization*, **20**, 1,(1989), 93-106.
- [25] **Kotarski, W.,** "On some specification of Milyutin-Dubovitskii's theorem for Pareto optimal problems," *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, **14**, 3, (1990), 287-291.
- [26] Kotarski, W., "Some Problems of Optimal and Pareto Optimal Control for Distributed Parameter Systems," Reports of Silesian University, No. 1668, Katowice, Poland, (1997), 1-93.
- [27] **Kotarski**, **W.**, "Quadratic Pareto-optimal control problem for a parabolic equation," *Proc. European Control Conference ECC' 97*, *Brussels*, (CD-ROM Ref) 711, (1997).
- [28] Kotarski, W., "On Pareto and Salukwadze optimization problems." Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci. 10, 3, (2000), 465-485.
- [29] Kotarski, W., and Bahaa, G. M. "Optimal control problem for infinite order hyperbolic system with mixed control-state constraints" *European Journal of Control*, to appear.
- [30] Kotarski, W., and Kowalewski, A., "An optimal control problem with initial state not a priori given," *Problems of Control and Information Theory*, **12**, (1983), 349-359
- [31] Kotarski, W., El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M., "Optimal control of parabolic equation with an infinite number of variables for non-standard functional and time delay," *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, **19**, 4, (2002), 461-476.
- [32] Kotarski, W., El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M., "Optimal control problem for a hyperbolic system with mixed control-state constraints

- involving operator of infinite order," International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 1, 3, (2002), 241-254.
- [33] Kotarski, W., El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M., "Optimality conditions for  $n \times n$  parabolic systems with control constraints and general performance index." Proceeding (Abstracts) of the International Conference of Mathematics (Trends and Developments) of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, Cairo, Egypt, 28-31 Dec. (2002), and Submitted for Publication in Journal of Egyptian Mathematical Society.
- [34] Kowalewski, A. and Kotarski, W., "Application of the Milyutin-Dubovicki's method to solving an optimal control problem of hyperbolic systems," *Problems of Control and Information Theory*, **9**, (1980), 183-193.
- [35] Kowalewski, A. and Kotarski, W., "On application of Milutin-Dubovicki's theorem to an optimal control problem for systems described by partial differential equations of hyperbolic type with time delay," *Systems Science*, 7, 1, (1981), 55-74.
- [36] Lasiecka, I., "Conical approximations of sets with application to optimization," *Technical University, Warsaw, Poland, Ph.D. Thesis 1974 (in Polish)*.
- [37] Laurent, P.J., "Approximation et Optimization," Paris: Hermann, (1972).
- [38] Lions, J. L., "Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations," Springer-Verlag, Band, 170, (1971).
- [39] Luenberger, D. G., "Optimization by Vector Space Methods," Wiley, New York, (1969).
- [40] Tröltzsch, F. "Optimality Conditions for Parabolic Control Problems and Applications," Teubner-Texte zür Mathematik, Band 62, Leipzig, (1984).
- [41] Walczak, S." One some control problems," Acta Universitatis Lodziensis. Folia Mathematica, 1, (1984), 187-196.
- [42] Walczak, S." Some properties of cones in normed spaces and their application investigating extremal problems," *Journal Of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 42, 2, (1984), 561-582.

[43] Werner, J. "Optimization Theory and Applications," Viewag, Advanced Lectures In Mathematics, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, (1984).