



*DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
AND
CONTROL PROCESSES
N 4, 2006
Electronic Journal,
reg. N P23275 at 07.03.97
<http://www.neva.ru/journal>
e-mail: jodiff@mail.ru*

Ordinary differential equations

Boundary Control For Cooperative Elliptic Systems Governed By Schrödinger Operator

G. M. BAHAA

Mathematics Department,
Faculty of Science,
Beni-Suef University,
Beni- Suef, EGYPT

E-mail: Bahaa_gm@hotmail.com

35K20, 49J20, 49K20, 93C20

Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for a cooperative elliptic systems governed by Schrödinger operator defined on \mathbb{R}^n , then we discuss the optimal control of boundary type for these systems.

Keywords: Cooperative elliptic systems in \mathbb{R}^n , Schrödinger operator, Existence of solution, Boundary control, Optimality conditions.

1 Introduction

We consider the following cooperative elliptic system :

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)y_1 = ay_1 + by_2 + f_1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ (-\Delta + q)y_2 = cy_1 + dy_2 + f_2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ y_1 = g_1 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \\ y_2 = g_2 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where :

$$\begin{cases} a, b, c \text{ and } d \text{ are given numbers such that } b, c > 0 \\ \text{(in this case, we say that the system (1) is cooperative)} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

$$q(x) \text{ is a positive function and tending to } \infty \text{ at infinity.} \quad (3)$$

In [22], Gali et al. proved the existence of optimal control for system like (S) with $q(x) = 0$ and with positive weight function. Also they found the set of inequalities which described the distributed control for systems (S) with $q(x) = 0$ and defined on bounded domain [21]. The case of semilinear cooperative system with $q(x) = 0$ is discussed in [17].

In [16] Fleckinger, obtained the necessary and sufficient conditions for having the maximum principle and the existence of positive solutions for cooperative system (1) which are:

$$\begin{cases} a < \lambda(q), & d < \lambda(q) \\ (\lambda(q) - a)(\lambda(q) - d) > bc, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda(q)$ is defined later.

Here, we shall use the same conditions (4) to prove the existence of the state of our system (1); then using the theory of Lions [30], we study the existence of boundary control for system (1). Our model in the problem is Schrodinger operator.

2 Operator equation.

To prove the existence of the state $y = \{y_1, y_2\}$ of system (1), we state briefly some results introduced in [15] concerning the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)\phi = \lambda(q)\phi & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \phi(x) \rightarrow 0 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \phi > 0. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

The associated variational space is $V_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the completion of $D(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with respect to the norm :

$$\|y\|_q = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta y|^2 + q|y|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Since the imbedding of $V_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is compact. Then the operator $(-\Delta + q)$ considered as an operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is positive self-adjoint with compact inverse. Hence its spectrum consists of an infinite sequence of positive eigenvalue tending to infinity; moreover the smallest one which is called the principle eigenvalue denoted by $\lambda(q)$ is simple and is associated with an eigenfunction which does not change sign in \mathbb{R}^n . It is characterized by:

$$\lambda(q) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Delta y|^2 + q|y|^2 dx \quad \forall y \in V_q(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (6)$$

Now, to study our system (1) we have the embedding

$$V_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \times V_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is continuous and compact then, we define a bilinear form

$$\pi : (V_q(\mathbb{R}^n))^2 \times (V_q(\mathbb{R}^n))^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi((y_1, y_2), (\phi_1, \phi_2)) &= \frac{1}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Delta y_1 \Delta \phi_1 + q y_1 \phi_1] dx + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Delta y_2 \Delta \phi_2 + q y_2 \phi_2] dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_1 \phi_2 dx - \frac{d}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_2 \phi_2 dx - \frac{a}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_1 \phi_1 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_2 \phi_1 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

It is easy to check that π is a continuous bilinear form; and then by Lax-Milgram Lemma, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 For $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a unique solution $y = \{y_1, y_2\} \in (V_q(\mathbb{R}^n))^2$ of system (1) if conditions (4) are satisfied.

Proof

We choose m large enough such that $a + m > 0$ and $d + m > 0$ and define on $V_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the equivalent norm

$$\|y\|_{q,m}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\Delta y|^2 + (m + q)|y|^2] dx$$

and we write (7) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi((y_1, y_2), (\phi_1, \phi_2)) &= \frac{1}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Delta y_1 \Delta \phi_1 + (q + m)y_1 \phi_1] dx - \frac{a + m}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_1 \phi_1 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_2 \phi_1 dx + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Delta y_2 \Delta \phi_2 + (q + m)y_2 \phi_2] dx \\ &\quad - \frac{d + m}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_2 \phi_2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_1 \phi_2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi((y_1, y_2), (y_1, y_2)) &= \frac{1}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\Delta y_1|^2 + (q + m)|y_1|^2] dx - \frac{a + m}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_1|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_1 y_2 dx + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\Delta y_2|^2 + (q + m)|y_2|^2] dx \\ &\quad - \frac{d + m}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_2|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y_1 y_2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi((y_1, y_2), (y_1, y_2)) &\geq \frac{1}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\Delta y_1|^2 + (q + m)|y_1|^2] dx - \frac{a + m}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_1|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [|\Delta y_2|^2 + (q + m)|y_2|^2] dx - \frac{d + m}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_2|^2 dx \\ &\quad - 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_1|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y_2|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

from (6), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \pi((y_1, y_2), (y_1, y_2)) &\geq \frac{1}{b} \left(1 - \frac{a + m}{\lambda(q) + m} \right) \|y_1\|_{q,m}^2 + \frac{1}{c} \left(1 - \frac{d + m}{\lambda(q) + m} \right) \|y_2\|_{q,m}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\lambda + m} \|y_1\|_{q,m} \|y_2\|_{q,m}. \end{aligned}$$

If (5) holds, then

$$\pi((y_1, y_2), (y_1, y_2)) \geq C(\|y_1\|_{q,m}^2 + \|y_2\|_{q,m}^2) \quad (8)$$

which prove the coerciveness of the bilinear form π . Then for $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, system (1) has a unique solution by Lax-Milgram lemma.

3 Formulation of the control problem

The space $L^2(\Gamma) \times L^2(\Gamma)$ is the space of controls. For a control $u = \{u_1, u_2\} \in (L^2(\Gamma))^2$, the state $y(u) = \{y_1(u), y_2(u)\}$ of the system is given by the solution of:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)y_1(u) = ay_1(u) + by_2(u) + f_1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ (-\Delta + q)y_2(u) = cy_1(u) + dy_2(u) + f_2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ y_1 = u_1 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \\ y_2 = u_2 & \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

The observation equation is given by $z(u) = \{z_1(u), z_2(u)\} = y(u) = \{y_1(u), y_2(u)\}$. For given $z_d = \{z_{d1}, z_{d2}\}$ in $(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^2$; the cost function is given by:

$$J(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (y_1(v) - z_{d1})^2 + (y_2(v) - z_{d2})^2 dx + (Nv, v)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \quad (10)$$

where $N \in L((L^2(\Gamma))^2, (L^2(\Gamma))^2)$ is hermitian positive definite operator:

$$(Nu, u) \geq \eta \|u\|_{(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^2}^2. \quad (11)$$

The control problem then is to find

$$\begin{cases} u = \{u_1, u_2\} \in U_{ad} & \text{such that} \\ J(u) \leq J(v) \end{cases}$$

where U_{ad} is a closed convex subset of $(L^2(\Gamma))^2$.

Under the given consideration, we may apply the Theorem 2.4 of Lions [30] to obtain the following result:

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that (8) and (11) hold. If the cost function is given by (10), then there exists an optimal control $u = \{u_1, u_2\}$; Moreover it is charac-*

terized by the following equations and inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)p_1(u) - ap_2(u) - cp_2(u) = y_1(u) - z_{1d} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ (-\Delta + q)p_2(u) - bp_1(u) - dp_2(u) = y_2(u) - z_{2d} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ p_1(u) = 0 \quad p_2(u) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial p_1(u)}{\partial \nu_A} (v_1 - u_1) + \frac{\partial p_2(u)}{\partial \nu_A} (v_2 - u_2) d\Gamma + (Nu, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in U_{ad},$$

together with (9), where $p(u) = \{p_1(u), p_2(u)\}$ is the adjoint state.

Proof

The control u is characterized by

$$J'(u)(v - u) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in U_{ad}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(y(u) - z_d, y(v) - y(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} + (Nu, v - u)_{L^2(\Gamma)^2} \geq 0$$

i.e.,

$$(y_1(u) - z_{1d}, y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} + (y_2(u) - z_{2d}, y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^2} + (Nu, v - u)_{L^2(\Gamma)^2} \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

Since $(A^*P, Y) = (P, AY)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\phi = \{\phi_1, \phi_2\}) &\rightarrow A\phi = \{(-\Delta + q)\phi_1 - a\phi_1 - b\phi_2, (-\Delta + q)\phi_2 - c\phi_1 - d\phi_2\} \\ &\text{for } \phi \in (V'_q(\mathbb{R}^n))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (P, AY) &= (p_1, (-\Delta + q)y_1 - ay_1 - by_2) + (p_2, (-\Delta + q)y_2 - cy_1 - dy_2) \\ &= (p_1, (-\Delta + q)y_1) - a(p_1, y_1) - b(p_1, y_2) + (p_2, (-\Delta + q)y_2) - c(p_2, y_1) \\ &\quad - d(p_2, y_2) \\ &= ((-\Delta + q)p_1, y_1) - a(p_1, y_1) - c(p_2, y_1) + ((-\Delta + q)p_2, y_2) - d(p_2, y_2) \\ &\quad - b(p_1, y_2) \\ &= ((-\Delta + q)p_1 - ap_1 - cp_2, y_1) + ((-\Delta + q)p_2 - bp_1 - dp_2, y_2) \\ &= (A^*P, Y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A^*(P = \{p_1, p_2\}) \rightarrow \{(-\Delta + q)p_1 - ap_1 - cp_2, (-\Delta + q)p_2 - bp_1 - dp_2\}$$

where A^* is the adjoint for A , P is the adjoint state. Then $A^*P = Y(u) - Z_d$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(-\Delta + q)p_1 - ap_1 - cp_2 &= y_1(u) - z_{1d} \\(-\Delta + q)p_2 - bp_1 - dp_2 &= y_2(u) - z_{2d} \\p_1(u) = p_2(u) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

So (12) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}((-\Delta + q)p_1 - ap_1 - cp_2, y_1(v) - y_1(u)) + ((-\Delta + q)p_2 - bp_1 - dp_2, y_2(v) - y_2(u)) \\+ (Nu, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0 \\(p_1(u), (-\Delta + q)(y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} - (\frac{\partial p_1(u)}{\partial \nu_A}, y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (p_1(u), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_A}(y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} - a(p_1(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u)) - b(p_1(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u)) + \\(p_2(u), (-\Delta + q)(y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} - (\frac{\partial p_2(u)}{\partial \nu_A}, y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (p_2(u), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_A}(y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} - c(p_2(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} - d(p_2(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\+ (Nu, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

From (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(p_1(u), a(y_1(v) - y_1(u)) + b(y_2(v) - y_2(u)) + f_1 - f_1 - a(y_1(v) - y_1(u)))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\(\frac{\partial p_1(u)}{\partial \nu_A}, v_1 - u_1)_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (0, \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_A}(y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} - c(p_2(u), y_1(v) - y_1(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\(p_2(u), c(y_1(v) - y_1(u)) + d(y_2(v) - y_2(u)) + f_2 - f_2 - c(y_1 - y_1(u)))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\(\frac{\partial p_2(u)}{\partial \nu_A}, v_2 - u_2)_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (0, \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_A}(y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\Gamma)} - d(p_2(u), y_2(v) - y_2(u))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \\(Nu, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(\frac{\partial p_1(u)}{\partial \nu_A}, v_1 - u_1)_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (\frac{\partial p_2(u)}{\partial \nu_A}, v_2 - u_2)_{L^2(\Gamma)} + (Nu, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0.$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\frac{\partial p_1(u)}{\partial \nu_A}(v_1 - u_1) + \frac{\partial p_2(u)}{\partial \nu_A}(v_2 - u_2)) d\Gamma + (Nu, v - u)_{(L^2(\Gamma))^2} \geq 0 \quad \forall u \in U_{ad}, v \in U_{ad}.$$

Which completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 3.2 *To study the optimal control for the scalar case*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)y = ay + f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ y(x) = g & \text{in } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

we define a bilinear form $\pi : V_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \times V_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\pi(y, \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\nabla y \nabla \phi + qy\phi) dx - a \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y\phi dx$$

As in theorem (1), we can prove π is coercive if $a < \lambda(q)$ and then there exists a unique solution of (13) for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, the state of the system is given by the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)y(u) = ay(u) + f + u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ y(u) = u & \text{in } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where u is given in the space $L^2(\Gamma)$ of controls. For given z_d in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the cost function is given by

$$J(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y(v) - z_d|^2 dx + \int_{\Gamma} (Nv)v d\Gamma$$

where N is a given hermitian positive definite operator. Then we have the following characterization of optimal control for this system :

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta + q)p(u) - ap(u) = y_1(u) - z_d & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ p(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial p(u)}{\partial v_A} (v - u) d\Gamma + (Nu, v - u)_{L^2(\Gamma)} \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in U_{ad}$$

together with (14), where $p(u)$ is the adjoint state.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful for the reviewers of the Electronic Journal of Differential Equations for their fruitful comments and invaluable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] **Adams, R. A.**, “ Sobolev Spaces,” *Academic Press, New York*, (1975).

- [2] **Bahaa, G. M.**, “ Quadratic Pareto optimal control of parabolic equation with state-control constraints and an infinite number of variables.” *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, **20**, 2, (2003), 167-178.
- [3] **Bergounioux, M.**, “ Optimal control of problems governed by abstract elliptic variational inequalities with state constraints.” *SIAM J. Control Optimization*, **36**, 1, (1998), 273-289.
- [4] **Bergounioux, M., and Tiba, D.**, “ Optimal control for the obstacle problem with state constraints, *ESAIM : Proceedings*, **4**, (1998),7-19.
- [5] **Bonnans, F., Casas, E.**, “A boundary Pontryagin’s principle for the optimal control of state-constrained elliptic equations,” *Internat. Ser. Numer. Math.*, **107**, (1992), 241-249.
- [6] **Casas, E.**, “ Control of an elliptic problem with pointwise state constraints.” *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, **24**, 6, (1986), 1309-1318.
- [7] **Casas, E.**, “ Boundary Control of semilinear elliptic equations with pointwise state constraints.” *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, **31**, 4, (1993), 993-1006.
- [8] **Casas, E.**, “ Boundary control problems for quasi-linear elliptic equations: A Pontryagin’s principle.” *Applied Mathematics and Optimization*, **33**, (1996), 265-291.
- [9] **El-Saify, H. A.**, “ Boundary control problem with an infinite number of variables.” *IJMMS* , **28**, 1, (2001), 57-62.
- [10] **El-Saify H. A., and Bahaa, G. M.**, “Optimal control for $n \times n$ systems of hyperbolic types.” *Revista de Mathematica Aplicadas*, **22**, 1&2, (2001), 41-58.
- [11] **El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M.**, “ Optimal control for $n \times n$ hyperbolic systems involving operators of infinite order.” *Mathematica Slovaca*, **52**, 4, (2002), 409-424.
- [12] **El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M.**, “Optimal control for $n \times n$ coupled systems governed by Petrowsky type equations with control-constrained and infinite number of variables” *Mathematica Slovaca*, **53**, (2003), 291-311.

- [13] **El-Saify, H. A., and Bahaa, G. M.**, “Boundary control for $n \times n$ systems of hyperbolic types involving infinite order operators.” *Accepted for oral in the second International Conference of Mathematics, Islamic University, Gaza, Palastin*, Editor. M. S. Al-Atrash, 26-28 Augusts. (2002).
- [14] **El-Saify, H. A., Serag, H. M, and Bahaa, G. M.**, “On optimal control for $n \times n$ elliptic system involving operators with an infinite number of variables.” *Advances in Modelling & Analysis*, **37**, 4, (2000), 47-61.
- [15] **Fleckinger, J.**, “Estimates of the number of eigenvalues for an operator of Schrodinger type.” *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburg*, 89A, (1981), 355-361.
- [16] **Fleckinger, J.**, “Method of sub-super solutions for some elliptic systems defined on \mathbb{R}^n .” *Preprint UMR MIP, Universite Toulouse*, 3, (1994).
- [17] **Fleckinger, J., and Serag, H.**, “Semilinear cooperative elliptic systems on \mathbb{R}^n .” *Rendiconti Di Mathematica Seri. VII*, **15**, Roma (1995), 89-108.
- [18] **Gali, I. M., and El-Saify, H. A.**, “Optimal control of a system governed by hyperbolic operator with an infinite number of variables.” *JMAA*, **85**, 1, (1982), 24-30.
- [19] **Gali, I. M, and El-Saify, H.A.**, “Distributed control of a system governed by Dirichlet and Neumann problems for a self-adjoint elliptic operator with an infinite number of variables.” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **39**, 2, (1983), 293-298.
- [20] **Gali, I. M. and El-Saify, H. A.**, “Control of system governed by infinite order equation of hyperbolic type.” *Proceeding of the international conference on "Functional-Differential systems and related topics"*. **III**, Poland, (1983), 99-103.
- [21] **Gali, I. M. and Serag, H.**, “Distributed control of cooperative elliptic systems.” *Accepted for presentation at the UAB-Georgia Tech International Conference on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics, Birmingham, Alabama, USA, March 13-19*, (1994)
- [22] **Gali, I. M. and Serag, H.**, “Optimal control of cooperative elliptic systems on \mathbb{R}^n .” *Journal of Egyptian Mathematics Society*, **13**, (1995), 33-39.

- [23] **Gali, I. M., El-Saify, H. A. and El-Zahaby, S. A.**, “Distributed Control of a system governed by Dirichlet and Neumann Problems for Elliptic equations of infinite order.” Proceeding of the international conference on “Functional -Differential systems and related topics **III**, Poland,” (1983), 83-87.
- [24] **Kotarski, W.**, “ Some problems of optimal and Pareto optimal control for distributed parameter systems.” *Reports of Silesian University, No.1668, Katowice, Poland*, (1997), 1-93.
- [25] **Kotarski, W.**, “ Optimal control of a system governed by Petrowsky type equation with an infinite number of variables.” *Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math.* **35**, (1996), 73-82.
- [26] **Kotarski, W.**, “ Optimal control of a system governed by a parabolic equation with an infinite number of variables.” *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, **60**, (1989), 33-41.
- [27] **Kotarski, W., El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M.**, “Optimal control of parabolic equation with an infinite number of variables for non-standard functional and time delay.” *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, **19**, 4, (2002), 461-476.
- [28] **Kotarski, W., El-Saify, H. A. and Bahaa, G. M.**, “ Optimal control problem for a hyperbolic system with mixed control-state constraints involving operator of infinite order.” *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **1**, 3, (2002), 241-254.
- [29] **Kowalewski, A. and Kotarski, W.**, “ On application of Milutin-Dubovicki’s theorem to an optimal control problem for systems described by partial differential equations of hyperbolic type with time delay.” *Systems Sci.* **7**, 1, (1981), 55-74.
- [30] **Lions, J. L.**, “ **Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations**”, *Springer-Verlag, Band170*, (1971).
- [31] **Tröltzsch, F.** “ **Optimality Conditions for Parabolic Control Problems and Applications.**” *Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik, Band 62, Leipzig*, (1984).
- [32] **Walczak, S.** “ One some control problems.” *Acta Universitatis Lodzianensis. Folia Mathematica*, **1**, (1984), 187-196.

- [33] **Werner, J.** “**Optimization Theory and Applications.**” *Viewag, Advanced Lectures In Mathematics, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, (1984).*