



DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
AND  
CONTROL PROCESSES  
№ 3, 2015  
Electronic Journal,  
reg.Эл № ФС77-39410 at 15.04.2010  
ISSN 1817-2172

<http://www.math.spbu.ru/diffjournal>  
e-mail: [jodiff@mail.ru](mailto:jodiff@mail.ru)

Integrodifferential Equations

## Controllability Results for general Integrodifferential Evolution Equations in Banach Space

Kamalendra Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Rakesh Kumar<sup>2</sup> and Manoj Karnataka<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, SRMS CET, Bareilly, U.P. – 243001, India  
E-mail: [kamlendra.14kumar@gmail.com](mailto:kamlendra.14kumar@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hindu College, Moradabad, U.P.-244001, India  
E-mail: [rakeshnaini1@gmail.com](mailto:rakeshnaini1@gmail.com)

\*E-mail: [karnatak.manoj@gmail.com](mailto:karnatak.manoj@gmail.com)

**ABSTRACT.** The sufficient conditions for controllability of general class of nonlinear evolution integrodifferential equations in Banach space are established. The results are obtained by using the resolvent operator and Schaefer fixed point theorem.

**Keywords:** Controllability, Nonlinear integrodifferential evolution equation, Resolvent operator, Schaefer's fixed point theorem.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34G20, 93B05.

### 1. Introduction

Pazy [15] has discussed the existence and uniqueness of mild, strong and classical solution of semilinear evolution equations by using semigroup theory. The nonlocal problem for the same equation has been first studied by Byszewski [8]. Then it has been extensively studied by many authors, see for example, Byszewski and Acka [7] and Balachandran and Chandrasekaran [3]. Lin and Liu [13] studied the nonlocal Cauchy problem for semilinear integrodifferential equations by using resolvent operators. Balachandran and Ravikumar [4] studied the existence of mild solutions of nonlinear integrodifferential equations with time varying delays in Banach spaces.

The first step in the study of the problem of controllability is to determine if an objective can be reached by some suitable control function. The problem of controllability happens when a system described by a state  $x(t)$  is controlled by a given law such as a differential equation  $X' = G(t, x(t), u(t))$ . We discuss the possibility of driving the solution for a given system from an

initial state to a final state by an adequate choice of the control function  $u$ . Roughly speaking, controllability means, that is possible to steer a dynamical system from an arbitrary initial state to an arbitrary final state using the set of admissible controls. So, the notion of controllability is a great importance in mathematical control theory such as pole assignment, stabilization and optimal control may be solved under the assumption that the system is controllable.

Controllability of nonlinear systems represented by ordinary differential equations in infinite dimensional space has been extensively studied by several authors, [5, 6, 14]. Sakthivel, Choi and Anthoni [16] derived sufficient conditions for controllability of nonlinear neutral evolution integrodifferential systems in a Banach space by using the resolvent operators and Schaefer fixed point theorem. Sakthivel, Choi and Anthoni [17] establish the sufficient conditions for the controllability of nonlinear evolution integrodifferential system by using resolvent operator and Schaefer fixed point theorem. Atmania and Mazouri [1] established the controllability results for some semilinear integrodifferential system with nonlocal condition in a Banach space by using semigroup theory and Schaefer theorem. Kumar and Kumar [11, 12] established a set of sufficient conditions for the controllability of Sobolev type nonlocal impulsive mixed functional integrodifferential evolution systems with finite delay by using semigroup theory and fixed point theorem. Hazi and Bragdi [10] established the controllability results of fractional integrodifferential systems in Banach space by using fractional calculus, semigroup theory and fixed point theorem.

Motivated by the above mentioned works and the work of Sakthivel, Choi and Anthoni [16], Balachandaran and Kumar [4], K. Balachandran, N. Annapoorani and J.K. Kim [2] and Sathiyathan and Gopal [18], we study the controllability for time varying delay integrodifferential evolution equation in Banach space by using the resolvent operators and Schaefer fixed point theorem.

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider the nonlinear delay integrodifferential equation with nonlocal condition of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - G \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right] = A(t)x(t) + \int_0^t Q(t, s)x(s) ds + F \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s)) ds, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) + (Bu)(t); t \in J \quad (1)$$

$$x(0) + h(x) = x_0 \quad (2)$$

where the state  $x(\cdot)$  takes values in a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and the control function  $u(\cdot)$  is given in  $L^2(J, U)$ , a Banach space of admissible control functions with  $U$  as a Banach space. Here  $A(t)$  and  $Q(t, s)$  are closed linear operators on  $X$  with dense domain  $D(A)$  which is independent of  $t$ ,  $B$  is a bounded linear operator from  $U$  into  $X$ ,  $f_i: J \times J \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $h: C(J, X) \rightarrow X$ ,  $g: J \times J \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $F: J \times X^{n+1} \rightarrow X$  and  $G: J \times X \times X \rightarrow X$  are given functions. Here  $J = [0, T]$ .

We shall make the following assumption [9].

- (I)  $A(t)$  generates a strongly continuous semigroup of evolution operators.
- (II) Suppose  $Y$  is a Banach space formed from  $D(A)$  with the graph norm.  $A(t)$  and  $Q(t, s)$  are closed operators, it follows that  $A(t)$  and  $Q(t, s)$  are in the set of bounded operators from  $Y$  to  $X$ ,

$B(Y, X)$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , respectively.  $A(t)$  and  $Q(t, s)$  are continuous on  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , respectively, into  $B(Y, X)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A resolvent operator for (1) and (2) is a bounded operator valued function  $R(t, s) \in B(X)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  the space of bounded linear operators on  $X$ , having the following properties:

- (i)  $R(t, s)$  is strongly continuous in  $s$  and  $t$ ,  $R(s, s) = I$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq T$ ,  $\|R(t, s)\| \leq Me^{\beta(t-s)}$  for some constants  $M$  and  $\beta$ .
- (ii)  $R(t, s)Y \subset Y$ ,  $R(t, s)$  is strongly continuous in  $s$  and  $t$  on  $Y$ .
- (iii) For  $y \in Y$ ,  $R(t, s)y$  is continuously differentiable in  $s$  and  $t$ , and for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R(t, s)y = A(t)R(t, s)y + \int_s^t B(t, r)R(r, s)y dr,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} R(t, s)y = -R(t, s)A(s)y - \int_s^t R(t, r)B(r, s)y dr,$$

with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R(t, s)y$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} R(t, s)y$  are strongly continuous on  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ . Here  $R(t, s)$  can be extracted from the evolution operator of the generator  $A(t)$ . The resolvent operator is similar to the evolution operator for nonautonomous differential equation in Banach spaces. It will not, however, be an evolution operator because it will not satisfy an evolution or semigroup property. Because a number of results follow directly from the definition of the resolvent operator.

**Definition 2.2.** A continuous function  $x(t)$  is said to be a mild solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1) and (2), if

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & R(t, 0)(x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0)) + G\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) \\ & + \int_0^t R(t, s) \left[ (Bu)(s) + F\left(s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right] ds \\ & - \int_0^t R(t, s) A(s) G\left(s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \\ & - \int_0^t \left[ \int_s^t R(t, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta\right) d\zeta \right] d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

is satisfied.

**Schaefer's Theorem [19].** Let  $E$  be a normed linear space. Let  $F: E \rightarrow E$  be a completely continuous operator, i.e. it is continuous and the image of any bounded set is contained in a compact set, and let

$$\zeta(F) = \{x \in E : x = \lambda Fx \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}$$

Then either  $\zeta(F)$  is unbounded or  $F$  has a fixed point.

**Definition 2.3.** The system (1) is said to be controllable with nonlocal condition (2) on the interval  $J$  if for every  $x_0, x_T \in X$ , there exists a control  $u \in L^2(J, U)$  such that the mild solution  $x(\cdot)$  of (1) - (2) satisfies  $x(0) + h(x) = x_0$  and  $x(T) = x_T$ .

To establish the result, we need the following additional hypothesis:

( $H_1$ ) The resolvent operator  $R(t, s)$  is compact and there exists constant  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $\|R(t, s)\| \leq M_1$

( $H_2$ ) The linear operator  $W : L^2(J, U) \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$Wu = \int_0^T R(T, s)Bu(s) ds,$$

has an induced inverse operator  $W^{-1}$  which takes values in  $L^2(J, U) / \ker W$  and there exists a positive constants  $a_1, a_2$  such that  $\|B\| \leq a_1$  and  $\|W^{-1}\| \leq a_2$ .

( $H_3$ ) The function  $G : C(J, X) \rightarrow X$  is completely continuous and there exists a constant  $0 \leq c_1 \leq 1$  such that  $\|G(t, x(t), y(t))\| \leq c_1 (\|x(t)\| + \|y(t)\|)$ , for any  $t \in J$  and is equicontinuous in  $(J, X)$ .

( $H_4$ ) For each  $t \in J$ , the function  $F(t, \cdot) : X^{n+1} \rightarrow X$  is continuous and for each  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^{n+1}$  the function  $F(\cdot, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) : J \rightarrow X$  is strongly measurable.

( $H_5$ ) There exists an integrable function  $m_i : J \times J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\|f_i(t, s, x)\| \leq m_i(t, s)\Omega_i(\|x\|)$ , for any  $t, s \in J, x \in X$ , where  $\Omega_i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a continuous nondecreasing function.

( $H_6$ ) There exists an integrable function  $m_0 : J \times J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\|g(t, s, x)\| \leq m_0(t, s)\Omega_0(\|x\|), \text{ for any } t, s \in J, x \in X,$$

where  $\Omega_0 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous nondecreasing function.

( $H_7$ ) The function  $F : C(J, X) \rightarrow X$  is completely continuous and there exists a constant  $0 \leq c_2 \leq 1$  such that  $\|F(t, x(t), y(t))\| \leq c_2 (\|x(t)\| + \|y(t)\|)$ , for any  $t \in J$  and is equicontinuous in  $(J, X)$ .

( $H_8$ ) There are functions  $H_1(\cdot), H_2(\cdot), \mu_1(\cdot), \mu_2(\cdot) : J \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\|A(t)R(t, s)\| \leq H_1(t)\mu_1(s); \quad \|Q(t, s)R(t, s)\| \leq H_2(t)\mu_2(s).$$

( $H_9$ ) The function

$$\widehat{m}(t) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{1-c_1} M_1 c_2, \frac{M_1 c_2}{1-c_1} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, s), \frac{c_1}{1-c_1} m_0(t, s), \frac{c_1}{1-c_1} H_1(t) \mu_1(t), \right. \\ \left. \frac{c_1}{1-c_1} H_1(t) \mu_1(t) m_0(t, s), \frac{c_1}{1-c_1} \int_s^t H_2(s) \mu_2(s) ds, \frac{c_1}{1-c_1} \int_s^t \int_0^s H_1(t) \mu_1(t) m_0(t, s) dt ds \right\}$$

such that  $\int_0^T \widehat{m}(s) ds < \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{c \cdot 3s + 2\Omega_0(s) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i(s)}$ ; see [7]

( $H_{10}$ ) The function  $h: C(J, X) \rightarrow X$  is continuous and there exists a constant  $M_2 > 0$  such that  $\|h(x)\| \leq M_2$  for any  $x \in X$ .

Then the system (1) – (2) has a mild solution of the following form

$$x(t) = R(t, 0) \left( x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0) \right) + G \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) \\ + \int_0^t R(t, s) \left[ (Bu)(s) + F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right] ds \\ - \int_0^t R(t, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ - \int_0^t \left[ \int_s^t R(t, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds \quad (4)$$

### 3. Controllability Result

**Theorem 3.1.** If the hypotheses ( $H_1$ ) – ( $H_9$ ) are satisfied, then the system (1) - (2) is controllable on  $J$ .

*Proof.* Using the hypotheses ( $H_2$ ) for an arbitrary function  $x(\cdot)$ , we define the control

$$u(t) = W^{-1} \left[ x_T - R(T, 0) \left\{ x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0) \right\} - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right. \\ \left. - \int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\} ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \right] (t) \quad (5)$$

We now show that when using the control  $u(t)$ , the operator  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow Z$ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\varphi x)(t) = & R(t,0)(x_0 - h(x)) - R(t,0)(G(0, x(0), 0)) + G\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) \\
 & - \int_0^t R(t, s) A(s) G\left(s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \\
 & - \int_0^t \left[ \int_s^t R(t, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta\right) d\zeta \right] ds \\
 & + \int_0^t R(t, s) F\left(s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \\
 & + \int_0^t R(t, \eta) B W^{-1} \left[ x_T - R(T, 0) \{x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0)\} - G\left(T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds\right) \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F\left(s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right\} ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G\left(s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T \left[ \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta\right) d\zeta \right] ds \right] (\eta) d\eta \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

has a fixed point. This fixed point is then a solution of equation (1) – (2).

Clearly  $x(T) = x_T$ , which means that the control  $u$  steers the system (1) – (2) from the initial state  $x_0$  to  $x_T$  in time  $T$ , provided we can obtain a fixed point of the nonlinear operator  $\varphi$ .

In order to study the controllability problem of (1) – (2), we introduce a parameter  $\lambda(0, 1)$  and consider the following system

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \lambda G\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) \right] = & A(t)x(t) + \lambda \int_0^t Q(t, s)x(s) ds + \lambda (Bu)(t) \\
 & + \lambda F\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, s, x(s)) ds, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, s, x(s)) ds\right); t \in J \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$x_0 = \lambda(x_0 - h(x)) \tag{8}$$

First we obtain a priori bounds for the mild solution of (7) – (8). Then from

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = & \lambda R(t,0)(x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0)) + \lambda G\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds\right) \\
 & - \lambda \int_0^t R(t, s) A(s) G\left(s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\lambda \int_0^t \left[ \int_s^t R(t, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds \\
 & + \lambda \int_0^t R(t, s) F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \lambda \int_0^t R(t, \eta) B W^{-1} \left[ x_T - R(T, 0) \{ x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0) \} - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\} ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \right] (\eta) d\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| & \leq M_1 (\|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\|) + c_1 \left[ \|x(t)\| + \int_0^t m_0(t, s) \Omega_0(\|x(s)\|) ds \right] \\
 & + c_1 \int_0^t H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left[ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(\|x(\tau)\|) d\tau \right] ds \\
 & + c_1 \int_0^t \left[ \int_s^t H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left\{ \|x(\tau)\| + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(\|x(\zeta)\|) d\zeta \right\} d\tau \right] ds \\
 & + M_1 c_2 \int_0^t \left[ \|x(t)\| + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, s) \Omega_i(\|x(s)\|) \right] ds \\
 & + \int_0^t \|R(t, \eta)\| a_1 a_2 \left[ \|x_T\| + M_1 (\|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\|) + c_1 \left( \|x(T)\| + \int_0^T m_0(T, s) \Omega_0(\|x(s)\|) ds \right) \right. \\
 & \left. + M_1 c_2 \int_0^T \left\{ \|x(T)\| + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(T, s) \Omega_i(\|x(s)\|) \right\} ds \right. \\
 & \left. + c_1 \int_0^T H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left\{ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(\|x(\tau)\|) d\tau \right\} ds \right. \\
 & \left. + c_1 \int_0^T \left[ \int_s^T H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left\{ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(\|x(\zeta)\|) d\zeta \right\} d\tau \right] ds \right] d\eta. \\
 & \leq M_1 (\|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\|) + c_1 \left[ \|x(t)\| + \int_0^t m_0(t, s) \Omega_0(\|x(s)\|) ds \right] \\
 & + c_1 \int_0^t H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left[ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(\|x(\tau)\|) d\tau \right] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +c_1 \int_0^t \int_s^t H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left\{ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(\|x(\zeta)\|) d\zeta \right\} d\tau \Big] ds \\
 & +M_1 c_2 \int_0^t \left[ \|x(t)\| + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, s) \Omega_i(\|x(s)\|) \right] ds + NM_1 T
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 N = & a_1 a_2 \left( \|x_T\| + M_1 \left\{ \|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\| \right\} \right) + c_1 \left( \|x(T)\| + \int_0^T m_0(T, s) \Omega_0(\|x(s)\|) ds \right) \\
 & +M_1 c_2 \int_0^T \left\{ \|x(T)\| + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(T, s) \Omega_i(\|x(s)\|) \right\} ds \\
 & +c_1 \int_0^T H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left\{ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(\|x(\tau)\|) d\tau \right\} ds \\
 & +c_1 \int_0^T \int_s^T H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left( \|x(s)\| + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(\|x(\zeta)\|) d\zeta \right) d\tau \Big] ds ;
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1-c_1) \|x(t)\| \leq & M_1 \left( \|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\| \right) + c_1 \int_0^t m_0(t, s) \Omega_0(\|x(s)\|) ds \\
 & +c_1 \int_0^t H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left[ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(\|x(\tau)\|) d\tau \right] ds \\
 & +c_1 \int_0^t \int_s^t H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left\{ \|x(s)\| + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(\|x(\zeta)\|) d\zeta \right\} d\tau \Big] ds \\
 & +M_1 c_2 \int_0^t \left[ \|x(t)\| + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, s) \Omega_i(\|x(s)\|) \right] ds + M_1 NT
 \end{aligned}$$

Implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| \leq & \frac{1}{1-c_1} \left\{ M_1 \left( \|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\| + NT \right) + c_1 \int_0^t m_0(t, s) \Omega_0(\|x(s)\|) ds \right. \\
 & +c_1 \int_0^t H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left( \|x(s)\| + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(\|x(\tau)\|) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & +c_1 \int_0^t \int_s^t H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left( \|x(s)\| + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(\|x(\zeta)\|) d\zeta \right) d\tau \Big] ds \\
 & \left. +M_1 c_2 \int_0^t \left[ \|x(t)\| + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, s) \Omega_i(\|x(s)\|) \right] ds \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Denoting by  $v(t)$  the right hand side of the above inequality as  $v(t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x(t)\| & \leq v(t), \\
 v(0) = c & = \frac{1}{1-c_1} M_1 \left( \|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\| + NT \right),
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 v'(t) &= \frac{1}{1-c_1} \left\{ c_1 m_0(t,s) \Omega_0(v(t)) + c_1 H_1(t) \mu_1(t) \left( v(t) + \int_0^t m_0(t,s) \Omega_0(v(s)) ds \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + c_1 \left[ \int_s^t H_2(s) \mu_2(s) \left( v(t) + \int_0^s m_0(s,\tau) \Omega_0(v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + M_1 c_2 \left( v(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t,s) \Omega_i(v(t)) \right) \right\} \\
 &\leq \widehat{m}(t) \left( 2\Omega_0(v(t)) + 3v(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i(v(t)) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\int_{v(0)}^{v(t)} \frac{ds}{3s + \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i(s) + 2\Omega_0(s)} \leq \int_0^T \widehat{m}(s) ds < \int_c^\infty \frac{ds}{3s + \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i(s) + 2\Omega_0(s)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

where  $c = \frac{1}{1-c_1} M_1 (\|x(0)\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\| + NT)$ .

This inequality implies that there is a constant  $k$  such that  $v(t) \leq k, t \in J$  and hence we have  $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in J\} \leq k$ , where  $k$  depends only on  $T$  and on the functions  $\widehat{m}, \Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_i$ .

Next we must prove that the operator  $F$  is a completely continuous operator. Let  $B_k = \{x \in Z : \|x\| \leq k\}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . We first show that  $\varphi$  maps  $B_k$  into an equicontinuous family. Let  $x \in B_k$  and  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$ . Then if  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\varphi x)(t_1) - (\varphi x)(t_2)\| &\leq \left\| (R(t_1, 0) - R(t_2, 0))(x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0)) \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \left( G \left( t_1, x(t_1), \int_0^{t_1} g(t_1, s, x(s)) ds \right) - G \left( t_2, x(t_2), \int_0^{t_2} g(t_2, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right) \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \left[ (R(t_1, s) - R(t_2, s)) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right] ds \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} (R(t_1, \tau) - R(t_2, \tau)) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} (R(t_1, s) - R(t_2, s)) F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ R(t_2, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right] ds \right\| \\
 &\quad + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} \left[ R(t_2, s) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right] d\tau \right] ds \right\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ R(t_2, s) F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right] ds \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} (R(t_1, \eta) - R(t_2, \eta)) BW^{-1} \left[ x_T - R(T, 0) \{ x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0) \} - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right. \right. \\
 & - \int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\} ds \\
 & + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \right] (\eta) d\eta \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} R(t_2, \eta) BW^{-1} \left[ x_T - R(T, 0) \{ x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0) \} - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right. \right. \\
 & - \int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\} ds \\
 & + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \right] (\eta) d\eta \right\| \\
 & \leq \left\| (R(t_1, 0) - R(t_2, 0)) (x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0)) \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \left( G \left( t_1, x(t_1), \int_0^{t_1} g(t_1, s, x(s)) ds \right) - G \left( t_2, x(t_2), \int_0^{t_2} g(t_2, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right) \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \left[ (R(t_1, s) - R(t_2, s)) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right] ds \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} \int_s^{t_1} (R(t_1, \tau) - R(t_2, \tau)) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds \right\| \\
 & + \left\| \int_0^{t_1} (R(t_1, s) - R(t_2, s)) F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right\| \\
 & + c_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left[ k + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(k) d\tau \right] ds \\
 & + c_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_s^{t_2} H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left( k + \int_0^s m_0(s, \zeta) \Omega_0(k) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds + M_1 c_2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( k + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, s) \Omega_i(k) \right) ds \\
 & + \int_0^{t_1} \left\| (R(t_1, \eta) - R(t_2, \eta)) \right\| a_1 a_2 \left\| x_T - R(T, 0) (x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0)) - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\} ds \\
 & + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \Big\| d\eta \\
 & + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} M_1 a_1 a_2 \left\{ \|x_T\| + M_1 (\|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\|) + c_1 \left( \|x(T)\| + \int_0^T m_0(t, s) \Omega_0(k) ds \right) \right. \\
 & + M_1 c_2 \int_0^T \left( k + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(T, s) \Omega_i(k) \right) ds + c_1 \int_0^T H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left( k + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(k) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & \left. + c_1 \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left( k + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(k) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \right\} d\eta
 \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side tends to zero as  $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$ , since  $f$  is completely continuous and by  $(H_1)$ ,  $R(t, s)$  for  $t > s$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology. Thus  $\varphi$  maps  $B_k$  into an equicontinuous family of functions. It is easy to see that the family  $\varphi B_k$  is uniformly bounded.

Next, we show  $\overline{\varphi B_k}$  is compact. Since we have shown  $\varphi B_k$  is an equicontinuous collection, it suffice by the Arzela – Ascoli theorem to show  $\{(\varphi x)(t) : x \in B_k\}$  is precompact in  $X$  for any  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let  $0 < t \leq T$  be fixed and  $\varepsilon$  a real number satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < t$ . For  $x \in B_k$  we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\varphi_\varepsilon x)(t) &= R(t, 0) \left( x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0) \right) + G \left( t, x(t), \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} g(t, s, x(s)) ds \right) \\
 & - \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} R(t, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & - \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_s^{t-\varepsilon} R(t, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds \\
 & + \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} R(t, s) F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
 & + \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} R(t, \eta) B W^{-1} \left\{ x_T - R(T, 0) \left( x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0) \right) - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right. \\
 & \left. - \int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\} ds \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \Big\} (\eta) d\eta$$

Since  $R(t, s)$  is a compact operator, the set  $Y_\varepsilon(t) = \{(\varphi_\varepsilon x)(t) : x \in B_k\}$  is precompact in  $X$  for every  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < t$ . Moreover, for every  $x \in B_k$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\varphi x)(t) - (\varphi_\varepsilon x)(t)\| &\leq \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \left\| R(t, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\| ds \\ &+ \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \left\| \int_s^{t-\varepsilon} R(t, \tau) Q(\tau, s) \left( G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right) d\tau \right\| ds \\ &+ \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \left\| R(t, s) F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\| ds \\ &+ \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \left\| R(t, \eta) B W^{-1} \left\{ x_T - R(T, 0) (x_0 - h(x) - G(0, x(0), 0)) - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, s, x(s)) ds \right) \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. - \int_0^T R(T, s) \left( F \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s f_1(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^s f_n(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right) ds \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \int_0^T R(T, s) A(s) G \left( s, x(s), \int_0^s g(s, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \int_0^T \left( \int_s^T R(T, \tau) Q(\tau, s) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(\tau, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right) ds \right\} (\eta) \right\| d\eta \\ &\leq c_1 \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left( k + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(k) d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ c_1 \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \left[ \int_s^{t-\varepsilon} H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left( k + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(k) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds + M_1 c_2 \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \left( k + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, s) \Omega_i(k) \right) ds \\ &+ M_1 a_1 a_2 \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t \left\{ \|x_T\| + M_1 (\|x_0\| + M_2 + \|G(0, x(0), 0)\|) + c_1 \left( \|x(T)\| + \int_0^T m_0(t, s) \Omega_0(k) ds \right) \right. \\ &\left. + M_1 c_2 \int_0^T \left( k + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(T, s) \Omega_i(k) \right) ds + c_1 \int_0^T H_1(s) \mu_1(s) \left( k + \int_0^s m_0(s, \tau) \Omega_0(k) d\tau \right) ds \right. \\ &\left. + c_1 \int_0^T \left[ \int_s^T H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) \left( k + \int_0^\tau m_0(\tau, \zeta) \Omega_0(k) d\zeta \right) d\tau \right] ds \right\} d\eta \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there are precompact sets arbitrarily close to the set  $\{(\varphi x)(t) : x \in B_k\}$ . Hence, the set  $\{(\varphi x)(t) : x \in B_k\}$  is precompact in  $X$ .

It remains to show that  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z$  is continuous. Let  $\{x_n\}_0^\infty \subseteq Z$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $Z$ . Then there is an integer  $q$  such that  $\|x_n(t)\| \leq q$  for all  $n$  and  $t \in J$ , so  $x_n \in B_q$  and  $x \in B_q$ . By  $(H_4)$

$$F\left(t, x_n(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, s, x_n(s)) ds, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x_n(s)) ds, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, s, x_n(s)) ds\right) \\ \rightarrow F\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, s, x(s)) ds, \int_0^t f_2(t, s, x(s)) ds, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, s, x(s)) ds\right), \text{ for each } t \in J$$

and since

$$\left\| F\left(t, x_n(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^t f_2(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right. \\ \left. - F\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \int_0^t f_2(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right\| \leq 2c_2 m_i(t, \tau) \Omega_i(q);$$

$$\left\| G\left(t, x_n(t), \int_0^t g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - G\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right\| \leq 2c_1 m_0(t, \tau) \Omega_0(q);$$

$$\left\| A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right\| \leq 2c_1 H_1(t) \mu_1(t) q$$

and

$$\left\| B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right\| \\ \leq 2c_1 H_2(\tau) \mu_2(\tau) q; 0 \leq c_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq c_2 \leq 1.$$

Now by dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\|\varphi x_n - \varphi x\| = \sup_{t \in J} \left\| \left[ G\left(t, x_n(t), \int_0^t g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - G\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t \left[ A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right] ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^s \left\{ B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x_n(\zeta)) d\zeta\right) - B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta\right) \right\} d\tau \right] ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t R(t, s) \left[ F\left(t, x_n(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - F\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right] ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^t R(t, \eta) B W^{-1} \left[ G\left(T, x_n(T), \int_0^T g(T, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - G\left(T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T R(T, s) \left\{ F\left(t, x_n(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - F\left(t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right\} ds \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^T \left\{ A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau\right) - A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G\left(\tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau\right) \right\} ds \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^T \left\{ \int_0^s \left[ B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x_n(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) - B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right] d\tau \right\} ds \Big] (\eta) d\eta \Big\| \\
 & \leq \left\| G \left( t, x_n(t), \int_0^t g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) - G \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\| \\
 & + \int_0^T \left\| A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) - A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\| ds \\
 & + \int_0^T \left[ \int_0^s \left\| B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x_n(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) - B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| d\tau \right] ds \\
 & + \int_0^T \left\| R(t, s) \left[ F \left( t, x_n(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) - F \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right] \right\| ds \\
 & + \int_0^T \left\| R(t, \eta) \left\| B \right\| \left\| W^{-1} \right\| \left\| G \left( T, x_n(T), \int_0^T g(T, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) - G \left( T, x(T), \int_0^T g(T, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\| \right\| \\
 & + \int_0^T \left\| R(T, s) \left\{ F \left( t, x_n(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) - F \left( t, x(t), \int_0^t f_1(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, \dots, \int_0^t f_n(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\} \right\| ds \\
 & + \int_0^T \left\| A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x_n(\tau)) d\tau \right) - A(\tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \tau, x(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right\| ds \\
 & + \int_0^T \left[ \int_0^s \left\| B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x_n(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x_n(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) - B(t, \tau) R(t, \tau) G \left( \tau, x(\tau), \int_0^\tau g(t, \zeta, x(\zeta)) d\zeta \right) \right\| d\tau \right] ds \Big] d\eta \\
 & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\varphi$  is continuous. This completes the proof that  $\varphi$  is completely continuous.

Finally the set  $\zeta(\varphi) = \{x \in Z : x = \lambda\varphi x, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$  is bounded, as we prove in the first step. Consequently, by Schaefer's theorem, the operator  $\varphi$  has a fixed point in  $Z$ . This means that any fixed point of  $\varphi$  is a mild solution of (1) and (2) on  $J$  satisfying  $(\varphi x)(t) = x(t)$ .

## References

- [1] R. Atmania and S. Mazouri, *Controllability of semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal conditions*, EJDE 2005 (2005), 75, pp. 1-9.
- [2] K. Balachandran, N. Annapoorani and J.K. Kim, *Existence of mild solutions of neutral evolution integrodifferential equations*, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications, Vol. 16, No. 2 (2011), pp. 141-153
- [3] K. Balachandran and M. Chandrasekaran, *Existence of solution of a delay differential equation with nonlocal condition*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 27 (1996), pp. 443-449.
- [4] K. Balachandran and R. Ravikumar, *Existence of solutions of integrodifferential evolution equations with time varying delays*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 7 (2007), pp. 1-8.
- [5] K. Balachandran and R. Sakthivel, *Controllability of semilinear functional integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces*, Kybernetika 36 (2000), 465-476.

- [6] K. Balachandran and R. Sakthivel, *Controllability of integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. 118 (2001), pp. 63-71.
- [7] L. Byszewski and H. Acka, *Existence of solutions of a semilinear functional differential evolution nonlocal problem*, Nonlinear Analysis, 34 (1) (1998), pp. 65-72.
- [8] L. Byszewski, *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 162 (1992), pp. 494-505.
- [9] R. Grimmer, *Resolvent operators for integral equations in a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), pp. 333-349.
- [10] M. Hazi and M. Bragdi, *Controllability of fractional integrodifferential systems via semigroup theory in Banach spaces*, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 54 (2012), 133-143.
- [11] K. Kumar and R. Kumar, *Existence of Solutions of Quasilinear Mixed Volterra-Fredholm Integrodifferential Equations with Nonlocal Conditions*, Differential Equations and Control Processes, 3 (2013), pp. 77-84.
- [12] K. Kumar and R. Kumar, *Controllability of Sobolev type nonlocal impulsive mixed functional integrodifferential evolution systems*, Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 3 (1) (2015), pp. 122-132.
- [13] Y. Lin and J. H. Liu, *Semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal Cauchy problem*, Nonlinear Analysis; Theory, Methods and Applications, 26 (1996), pp. 1023-1033.
- [14] J. Y. Park and H. K. Han, *Controllability of nonlinear functional integrodifferential systems in Banach spaces*, Nihonkai Math. J. 8 (1997), pp. 47-53.
- [15] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [16] R. Sakthivel, Q. H. Choi and S.M. Anthoni, *Controllability of nonlinear neutral evolution integrodifferential systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (2002), pp. 402-417.
- [17] R. Sakthivel, Q. H. Choi and S.M. Anthoni, *Controllability result for nonlinear evolution integrodifferential systems*, Applied Mathematics Letters, 17 (2004), 1015-1023.
- [18] K. Sathiyathan and T. Nandha Gopal, *Existence results on general integrodifferential evolution equations in Banach space*, Applied Mathematics, 4 (2013), pp. 149-154.
- [19] H. Schaefer, *Über Die Methoden der a Priori Schranken*, Mathematische Annalen 129 (1955), pp. 415-416.